### Topic 2: Basic Modelling <sup>1</sup> (Version of 23rd October 2023)

#### Pierre Flener and Jean-Noël Monette

Optimisation Group Department of Information Technology Uppsala University Sweden

Course 1DL442: Combinatorial Optimisation and Constraint Programming, whose part 1 is Course 1DL451: Modelling for Combinatorial Optimisation

<sup>1</sup>Many thanks to Guido Tack for feedback

VEE



### Outline

The MiniZinc Language Modelling Set Variables &Constraints

Modelling Checklist

#### **1.** The MiniZinc Language

### 2. Modelling

3. Set Variables & Constraints

#### 4. Modelling Checklist



### Outline

#### The MiniZinc Language

Modelling

Set Variables & Constraints

Modelling Checklist

#### 1. The MiniZinc Language

2. Modelling

3. Set Variables & Constraints

### 4. Modelling Checklist



# **MiniZinc Model**

The MiniZinc Language

Modelling

Set Variables &Constraints

Modelling Checklist A MiniZinc model may comprise the following items:

- Parameter declarations
- Decision variable declarations
- Predicate and function definitions
- Constraints
- Objective
- Output



### **Types for Parameters**

MiniZinc is strongly typed. Some parameter types are:

- int: integer
- **bool: Boolean**
- enum: enumeration
- float: floating-point number
- string: string of characters
- set of  $\tau$ : set of elements of type  $\tau$
- array [ρ] of τ: possibly multidimensional array of elements of type τ;
   each index range in ρ is an enumeration or an integer range α..β

### Example

The parameter declaration int: n declares an integer parameter called n. One can also write par int: n in order to emphasise that n is a parameter.

The MiniZinc Language

Modelling

Set Variables &Constraints

Modelling Checklist



The MiniZinc

Set Variables

&Constraints

Modelling Checklist

Language

# **Types for Decision Variables**

Decision variables are implicitly existentially quantified: the objective is to find feasible (and optimal) values in their finite domains. Some variable types are:

- int: integer
- **bool: Boolean**
- enum: enumeration
- float: floating-point number

(do not use in this course)

■ set of enum and set of int:set

A possibly multidimensional array can be declared to have variables of any variable type, but it is itself not a variable.

### Example

The variable declaration var int: n declares a decision variable of domain int and identifier  ${\tt n}.$ 

Tight domains for variables might accelerate the solving: see the next slides.

COCP/M4CO 2



## Literals

The MiniZinc Language

Modelling

Set Variables &Constraints

Modelling Checklist The following literals (or: constants) can be used:

- Boolean: true and false
- Integers: in decimal, hexadecimal, or octal format
- Sets: between curly braces, for example {1, 3, 5}, or as integer ranges, for example 10..30
- 1d arrays: between square brackets, say [6,3,1,7]
- 2d arrays: A vertical bar | is used before the first row, between rows, and after the last row; for example [|11,12,13|21,22,23|]
- For higher-dimensional arrays, see slide 11

Careful: The indices of arrays start from 1 by default.

COCP/M4CO 2



Language

Modelling

Modelling Checklist

# Declarations of Parameters and Decision Variables

```
1 int: n = 4;
          2 par int: p;
The MiniZinc
          3 p = 10;
          4 var 0..23: hour:
          5 set of int: Primes = {2,3,5,7,11,13};
Set Variables
          6 var set of Primes: Taken:
&Constraints
          7 var int: nbr = card(Taken);
```

- A parameter must be instantiated, once, to a literal. The instantiation of a parameter can be separate from its declaration: either in the model (see p in lines 2 & 3 above), or in a datafile, or at the command line, or in the IDE. The domain of a decision variable can be tightened by replacing its type by a smaller finite set of values of that type:
  - hour must take an integer value from 0 to 23 inclusive
  - Taken must be a subset of {2,3,5,7,11,13}
  - nbr is equality-constrained at its declaration: its domain is inferred to be 0...6 (see slide 39 for more)



# Array and Set Comprehensions

The MiniZinc Language

Modelling

Set Variables &Constraints

Modelling Checklist An array or set can be built by a comprehension, using the notation  $[\sigma | \gamma]$ or  $\{\sigma | \gamma\}$ , where expression  $\sigma$  is evaluated for each element produced by the generator  $\gamma$ : a generator introduces one or more identifiers with values drawn from finite integer sets, optionally under a where test.

#### Examples

```
1 [i * 2 | i in 1..8]
2 evaluates to [2,4,6,8,10,12,14,16]
3 [i * j | i,j in 1..3 where i<j] % both i and j are in 1..3
4 evaluates to [2,3,6]
5 [i + 2 * j | i in 1..3, j in 1..4]
6 evaluates to [3,5,7,9,4,6,8,10,5,7,9,11]
7 {i + 2 * j | i in 1..3, j in 1..4}
8 evaluates to {3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11}
9 Sudoku[row,..] % slicing
10 is syntactic sugar for [Sudoku[row,col] | col in 1..9]</pre>
```



# Indexing: Syntactic Sugar

For example,

The MiniZinc Language

Modelling

Set Variables & Constraints

Modelling Checklist

```
sum(i,j in 1..n where i<j)(X[i] * X[j])</pre>
```

is syntactic sugar for

```
sum([X[i] * X[j] | i,j in 1...n where i<j])</pre>
```

This works for any function or predicate that takes an array as sole argument. In particular:

```
forall(i in 1..n)(Z[i] = X[i] + Y[i]);
```

is syntactic sugar for

```
forall([Z[i] = X[i] + Y[i] | i in 1..n]);
```

where the forall (array[int] of var bool: B) constraint holds if and only if (iff) all the expressions in the Boolean array B hold: it generalises the 2-ary logical-and connective (/ $\$ ).

COCP/M4CO 2



# **Array Manipulation**

The MiniZinc Language

Modelling

Set Variables &Constraints

Modelling Checklist Changing the number of dimensions and their index ranges, provided the numbers of elements match:

array1d(5..10,[|2,7|3,7|4,9|]) casts a 2D array into a 1D array

array2d(1..2,1..3, [2,7,3,7,4,9]) casts a 1D array into 2D

and so on, until array6d.

Try and keep your index ranges starting from 1:

- It is easier to read a model under this usual convention.
- Subtle errors might occur otherwise.
- **Concatenation:** for example, [1, 2] ++ [3, 4].

COCP/M4CO 2



# Subtyping

The MiniZinc Language

Modelling Set Variables &Constraints

Modelling Checklist A parameter can be used wherever a decision variable is expected. This extends to arrays: for example, a predicate or function expecting an argument of type array[int] of var int can be passed an argument of type array[int] of int.

The type bool is a subtype of the type int. One can coerce from bool to int using the bool2int function, defined by bool2int(true) = 1 and bool2int(false) = 0. This coercion is automatic when needed.

In mathematics, one uses the lverson bracket for this purpose: we define  $[\phi] = 1$  if and only if formula  $\phi$  is true, and  $[\phi] = 0$  otherwise.



# **Option Variables**

The MiniZinc Language

Modelling

Set Variables &Constraints

Modelling Checklist An option variable is a decision variable that can also take the special value <> indicating the absence of a value for the decision variable.

A decision variable is declared optional with the keyword opt.

For example, var opt 1..4: x declares a decision variable x of domain {1,2,3,4,<>}.

Do not use *explicit* option variables in this course. However, one can see them:

In the documentation:

for example, var int is a subtype of var opt int.

In error messages, due to *implicit* option variables being made explicit while flattening, but things getting too complex: see the symptomatic example at slide 21.



## Constraints

The MiniZinc Language

Modelling

Set Variables & Constraints

Modelling Checklist A constraint is the keyword constraint followed by a Boolean expression that must be true in every solution.

Examples

1 constraint x < y;</pre>

2 constraint sum(X) = 0 /\ all\_different(X);

Constraints separated by a semi-colon (;) are implicitly connected by the 2-ary logical-and connective  $(/ \setminus)$ .

What does constraint x = x + 1 mean?

MiniZinc is declarative and has no destructive assignment: this equality constraint is not satisfied by any value for x.

MiniZinc tolerates the syntax x = y + 1 for x = y + 1, but note that MiniZinc is syntax for mathematics and logic, where == does not exist!

COCP/M4CO 2



### **Objective**

The MiniZinc Language

Modelling

Set Variables &Constraints

Modelling Checklist The solve item gives the objective of the problem:

solve satisfy;

The objective is to solve a satisfaction problem.

solve minimize x;

The objective is to minimise the value of decision variable x.

solve maximize x + y;

The objective is to maximise the value of the objective function x + y.

MiniZinc does not support multi-objective optimisation yet: multiple objective functions must either be aggregated into a weighted sum, or be handled outside a MiniZinc model.



# Output

The MiniZinc Language

Modelling

Set Variables &Constraints

Modelling Checklist The output item prescribes what to print upon finding a solution: the keyword output is followed by an array of strings.

output [show(x div n)];

The function show returns a string with the value of its variable expression.

```
output ["Solution: "] ++ [if X[i] > 0 then
    show(X[i])++", " else " , " endif | i in 1..n];
```

The operator ++ concatenates two strings or two arrays.

The string "\(X[i]), " equals show(X[i])++", ". There is show2d. The search strategy of the CP backend Gecode depends on the decision variables mentioned in the output statement.



## **Operators and Functions**

The MiniZinc Language

Modelling

Set Variables &Constraints

Modelling Checklist Booleans: not, /\, \/, <->, ->, <-, xor, forall, exists, xorall, iffall, clause, bool2int, ...</p>
Beware of arbitrarily nested logical quantifications, such as forall(...exists(...forall(...)))!

- Integers: +, -, \*, div (note that / is for float), mod, abs, pow, min, max, sum, product, = (or == if you have to), <, <=, =>, >, !=, ... Beware of div, mod, and pow on decision variables!
- Sets: .., in, card, subset, superset, union, array\_union, intersect, array\_intersect, diff, symdiff, set2array,...
- Strings: ++, concat, join, ...
- Arrays: length, index\_set, index\_set\_1of2, index\_set\_2of2, ..., index\_set\_6of6, array1d, array2d, ..., array6d, ...



### **Predicates and Functions**

The MiniZinc Language

Modelling

Set Variables &Constraints

Modelling Checklist MiniZinc offers a large collection of predefined predicates and functions in order to enable a high level at which models can be formulated. See Topic 3: Constraint Predicates.

Each predefined constrained function is defined by the corresponding constraint predicate, possibly upon introducing a new decision variable.

### Example

count(X,v)>m is defined by count(X,v,c) /\ c>m with var int: c.

It is also possible for modellers to define their own functions and predicates, as discussed at slide 25.



# Reification

The MiniZinc Language

Modelling

Set Variables &Constraints

Modelling Checklist Reification enables the reasoning about the truth of a constraint or a Boolean expression.

Example

```
constraint x < y;</pre>
```

requires that x be smaller than y.

```
constraint b \langle - \rangle x \langle y;
```

requires that the Boolean variable b take the value true iff x is smaller than y: the constraint x < y is said to be reified, and b is called its reification.

Reification is a powerful mechanism that enables:

- higher-level modelling;
- easier implementation of the logical connectives.



The MiniZinc

Set Variables &Constraints

Modelling

Modelling Checklist The expression bool2int ( $\phi$ ), for a Boolean expression  $\phi$ , denotes the integer 1 if  $\phi$  is true, and 0 if  $\phi$  is false.

#### Example (Cardinality constraint)

Constrain one or two of three constraints  $\gamma_1$ ,  $\gamma_2$ ,  $\gamma_3$  to hold:

```
bool2int(\gamma_1) + bool2int(\gamma_2) + bool2int(\gamma_3) in {1,2}
```

As bool2int coercion is automatic, one can actually write:

 $\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 \text{ in } \{1, 2\}$ 

However, as a coding convention, we recommend to write:

 $(\gamma_1) + (\gamma_2) + (\gamma_3)$  in {1,2}

thereby mimicking the Iversion bracket (see slide 12).

Reification (implicit via bool2int and (...) ) has pitfalls:

- Inference and relaxation might be poor: slow solving.
- Not all constraints can be reified in MiniZinc, such as some of those in Topic 3: Constraint Predicates.



The MiniZinc Language

Modelling

Set Variables & Constraints

Modelling Checklist A conditional expression can be formulated as follows:

- **Conditional:** if  $\theta$  then  $\phi_1$  else  $\phi_2$  endif
- **Comprehension:** [i | i in  $\sigma$  where  $\theta$ ]

The expressions  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  must have the same type.

The test  $\theta$  after if or where may have variables, but this can be a source of unexpected behaviour (Section 2.4.3), inefficiency, or impossible flattening!

#### Example

```
1 enum I; set of int: T; array[I] of var T: X;
2 array[I] of var T: Y=[X[i]|i in I where X[i]>0]; constraint sum(Y)<7;</pre>
```

This yields an error message with var opt (see slide 13) as the indices of Y cannot be determined when flattening and cannot just be set to I. But the following works:

2 constraint sum([X[i] | i in I where X[i]>0]) < 7;</pre>

and so does the use of implicit reification, possibly better:

2 constraint sum([(X[i]>0) \* X[i] | i in I]) < 7;</pre>



#### Example (Soft Constraints:

An enumeration Students of students want to line up for a class photo.

#### Consider:

The MiniZinc Language

Modelling

Set Variables &Constraints

Modelling Checklist array[\_] of record(Students: who, Students: whom ): Wish;The wish w in Wish denotes that student w.who wantsto be next to student w.whom on the photo.Maximise the number of granted wishes.

Let decision variable Pos[s] denote the position in 1..card (Students) of student s on the photo.

The array Pos must form a permutation of the positions:

```
constraint all_different(Pos);
```



The MiniZinc Language

Modelling

Set Variables &Constraints

Modelling Checklist

### Example (Soft Constraints: Weighted Photo Alignment Problem 2 + 2)

An enumeration Students of students want to line up for a class photo.

#### Consider:

array[\_] of record(Students: who, Students: whom, int: bid): Wish; The wish w in Wish denotes that student w.who wants to pay w.bid in order to be next to student w.whom on the photo. Maximise the weighted number of granted wishes.

Let decision variable Pos[s] denote the position in 1..card (Students) of student s on the photo.

The array Pos must form a permutation of the positions:

```
constraint all_different(Pos);
```



The MiniZinc Language

Modelling

Set Variables &Constraints

Modelling Checklist

### Example (Soft Constraints: Weighted Photo Alignment Problem 2 + 2)

An enumeration Students of students want to line up for a class photo.

#### Consider:

array[\_] of record(Students: who, Students: whom, int: bid): Wish; The wish w in Wish denotes that student w.who wants to pay w.bid in order to be next to student w.whom on the photo. Maximise the weighted number of granted wishes.

Let decision variable Pos[s] denote the position in 1..card (Students) of student s on the photo.

The array Pos must form a permutation of the positions:

```
constraint all_different(Pos);
```

The objective, formulated using implicit reification, is: solve maximize sum(w in Wish) (w.bid \* (abs(Pos[w.who] - Pos[w.whom]) = 1));



The MiniZinc

&Constraints

Modelling Set Variables

Modelling Checklist

#### Example (Sum of unweighted reified constraints)

The expression  $sum(i \text{ in } index\_set(X))(X[i] = v)$  denotes the number of decision variables of array X that are equal to decision variable v.

This idiom is very common in constraint-based models. So it has a name:

### Definition (The count constraint predicate)

The constraint count (X, v, c) holds if and only if decision variable c has the number of decision variables of array X that are equal to decision variable v.

For other predicates, see Topic 3: Constraint Predicates.

#### Definition (The count constrained function)

The expression count(X, v) denotes the number of decision variables of array x that are equal to decision variable v.

### Example (Unweighted Photo Alignment Problem, revisited)

solve maximize count([abs(Pos[w.who] - Pos[w.whom]) | w in Wish], 1);



# **Predicate and Function Definitions**

#### Examples

#### The MiniZinc Language

- Modelling Set Variables &Constraints
- Modelling Checklist

# 1 function int: double(int: x);

- 2 function var int: double(var int: x);
- 3 predicate pos(var int: x);
- 4 function var bool: neg(var int: x);

### A predicate is a function denoting a var bool:

### Examples

- 3 function var bool: pos(var int: x);
- 4 predicate neg(var int: x);

Function and predicate names can be overloaded.



The body of a predicate or function definition is an expression of the same type as the denoted value.

#### Examples

```
Modelling
Set Variables
&Constraints
Modelling
Checklist
```

The MiniZinc

```
1 function int: double(int: x) = 2*x;
```

```
2 function var int: double(var int: x) = 2 \times x;
```

```
3 predicate pos(var int: x) = x > 0;
```

```
4 function var bool: neg(var int: x) = x < 0;
```

One can use if ... then ... else ... endif expressions, predicates and functions, such as forall and exists, as well as let expressions (see the next slide) in the body of a predicate or function definition.



The MiniZinc

Set Variables & Constraints

Modelling

Checklist

Language Modelling

# Let Expressions

One can introduce local identifiers within a let expression and constrain them.

#### Examples

```
1 function int: double(int: x) =
2 let { int: y = 2 * x } in y;
3 function var int: double(var int: x) =
4 let { var int: y = 2 * x } in y;
5 function var int: double(var int: x) =
6 let { var int: y;
7 constraint y = 2 * x
8 } in y;
The second and third functions are equivalent:
```

each use adds a decision variable to the model.



# **Constraints in Let Expressions**

What is the difference between the following two definitions?

```
The MiniZinc
Language
Modelling
Set Variables
&Constraints
Modelling
Checklist
```

```
1 predicate posProd(var int: x, var int: y) =
2 let { var int: z; constraint z = x * y
3 } in z > 0;
4 predicate posProd(var int: x, var int: y) =
5 let { var int: z
6 } in z = x * y /\ z > 0;
```

Their behaviour is different in a negative context, such as not posProd(a,b):

- **The 1st one then ensures**  $a * b = z / \langle z \rangle <= 0.$
- The 2nd one then ensures a \* b != z \/ z <= 0 and leaves a and b unconstrained.



# **Using Predicates and Functions**

Advantages of using predicates and functions in a model:

- Software engineering good practice:
  - Reusability
  - Readability
  - Modularity
- The model might be solved more efficiently:
  - Better common-subexpression elimination.
  - The definitions can be technology-specific or solver-specific. If a predefined constraint predicate is a built-in of a solver, then its solver-specific definition is identity!

Modelling

Set Variables &Constraints

Modelling Checklist



### Remarks

- The MiniZinc Language
- Modelling
- Set Variables &Constraints
- Modelling Checklist

- The order of model items does not matter.
- One can include other files. Example: include "globals.mzn".
- The following functions are useful for debugging:
  - constraint assert (θ, "error message")
     If the Boolean expression θ evaluates to false,
     then abort with the error message, otherwise denote true.
  - trace("message",  $\phi$ )

. . .

Print the message and denote the expression  $\phi$ .



The MiniZinc Language

Set Variables &Constraints

Modelling

Modelling Checklist

# **Other Modelling Languages**

- OPL: https://www.ibm.com/optimization-modeling
- Comet:

 $\verb+https://mitpress.mit.edu/books/constraint-based-local-search+$ 

- Essence and Essence': https://constraintmodelling.org
- Zinc: https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10601-008-9041-4
- AIMMS: https://aimms.com
- AMPL: https://ampl.com
- FICO Xpress Insight:

https://www.fico.com/en/products/fico-xpress-optimization

- GAMS: https://gams.com
- SMT-lib: https://smtlib.cs.uiowa.edu
- **.**..



### Outline

The MiniZinc Language

#### Modelling

Set Variables &Constraints

Modelling Checklist

#### 1. The MiniZinc Language

### 2. Modelling

**3. Set Variables & Constraints** 

#### 4. Modelling Checklist



# From a Problem to a Model

The MiniZinc Language

Modelling

Set Variables &Constraints

Modelling Checklist What is a good model for a constraint problem?

- A model that correctly represents the problem
- A model that is easy to understand and maintain
- A model that is solved efficiently, that is:
  - short solving time to find one, all, or best solution(s)
  - good solution within a limited amount of time
  - small search space (under systematic search)

Food for thought: What is correct, easy, short, good, ...?



# **Modelling Issues**

Modelling is still more an Art than a Science:

Choice of the decision variables and their domains

Modelling Set Variables &Constraints

The MiniZinc

Language

Modelling Checklist

- Choice of the constraint predicates, in order to model the objective function, if any, and the constraints
- Optional for CP and LCG:
  - Choice of the consistency for each constraint
  - Choice of the variable selection strategy for search
  - Choice of the value selection strategy for search

See Topic 8: Inference & Search in CP & LCG.

Make a model correct before making it efficient!

COCP/M4CO 2



# **Choice of the Decision Variables**

#### Examples (Alphametic Problems)

The MiniZinc Language

Modelling

Set Variables &Constraints

Modelling Checklist SEND + MORE = MONEY: Model without carry variables: 19 of 23 CP nodes are visited:

 $1000 \cdot (S+M) + 100 \cdot (E+O) + 10 \cdot (N+R) + (D+E) \\= 10000 \cdot M + 1000 \cdot O + 100 \cdot N + 10 \cdot E + Y$ 

Model with carry variables: 23 of 29 CP nodes are visited:

 $D + E = 10 \cdot C_1 + Y \land N + R + C_1 = 10 \cdot C_2 + E$ \$\langle E + O + C\_2 = 10 \cdot C\_3 + N \langle S + M + C\_3 = 10 \cdot M + O\$

GERALD + DONALD = ROBERT: The model with carry variables is more effective in CP: only 791 of 869 nodes are visited, rather than 13,795 of 16,651 search nodes for the model without carry variables.



## **Choice of the Constraint Predicates**

The MiniZinc Language

#### Modelling

Set Variables &Constraints

Modelling Checklist

### Example (The all\_different constraint predicate)

The constraint all\_different (X) on an array X of size n usually leads to faster solving than its definition by a conjunction of  $\frac{n \cdot (n-1)}{2}$  disequality constraints:

forall(i,j in index\_set(X) where i < j)(X[i] != X[j])</pre>

For more examples, see Topic 3: Constraint Predicates.



## **Guidelines: Reveal Problem Structure**

- Use few decision variables, and declare tight domains
- Beware of nonlinear and power constraints: pow
- Beware of division constraints: div and mod (avoid /, which is for float)
- Beware of disjunction and negation: \/, <-, ->, <->, not
- Express the problem concisely (see Topic 3: Constraint Predicates)
- Precompute solutions to a sub-problem into a table (see Topic 3: Constraint Predicates; see Topic 4: Modelling)
- Use implied constraints (see Topic 4: Modelling)
- Use different viewpoints (see Topic 4: Modelling)
- Exploit symmetries (see Topic 5: Symmetry)

Careful: These guidelines of course have their exceptions! It is important to test empirically several combinations of model, solver, and solving technology.

The MiniZinc Language

Modelling

Set Variables &Constraints

Modelling Checklist



&Constraints

Modelling Set Variables

Modelling Checklist

## **Use Few Decision Variables**

When appropriate, use a single integer decision variable instead of an array of Boolean decision variables:

### Example

Assume Joe must be assigned to exactly one task in 1...n:

- Use a single integer decision variable, var 1..n: joesTask, denoting which task Joe is assigned to.
- Do not use array[1..n] of var bool:joesTask, each element joesTask[t] denoting whether (true) or not (false) Joe is assigned to task t, plus count (joesTask, true) = 1.

When appropriate, use a single set decision variable instead of an array of Boolean or integer decision variables: see slides 49 and 51.



## **Declare the Decision Variables with Tight Domains**

Tight domains for decision variables might accelerate the solving. Beware of var int for non equality-constrained decision variables.

#### The MiniZinc Language

#### Modelling

Set Variables &Constraints

Modelling Checklist

### Example (Use parameters for declaring tight domains)

If the decision variable t denotes a time, then write var 0..h: t, where horizon h is a parameter, instead of var int: t.

### Definition

A derived parameter is computed from the parameters in the instance data.

### Example (Use derived parameters for declaring tight domains)

1 int: p; int: c= ceil(pow(p,1/3)); int: s= ceil(sqrt(p)); 2 var 1..c: x; var 1..s: y; var 1..p: z; % no "var int" 3 constraint x \* y \* z = p /\ x <= y /\ y <= z;</pre>



&Constraints

Modelling Set Variables

Checklist

## **Beware of Nonlinear and Power Constraints**

Constraining the product of two or more decision variables often makes the solving slow. Try and find a linear reformulation.

Example

### The model snippet

```
array[1..n] of var 0..1: X;
array[1..n] of var 0..1: Y;
constraint count([X[i] * Y[i] | i in 1..n], 1) = b;
```

#### should be reformulated as:

```
array[1..n] of var 0..1: X;
array[1..n] of var 0..1: Y;
constraint count([X[i] + Y[i] | i in 1..n], 2) = b;
```



## **Beware of Division Constraints**

The MiniZinc Language

#### Modelling

Set Variables &Constraints

Modelling Checklist The use of div and mod on decision variables often makes the solving slow. Use table (see Topic 3: Constraint Predicates) or reformulate.

### Example

### The model snippet

solve minimize sum(X) div n; % minimise the average

over n decision variables  $X\left[ \text{i} \right]$  and parameter n should become:

solve minimize sum(X); % minimise the sum
output [show(sum(X) div n)]; % output the average



# **Beware of Disjunction and Negation**

The MiniZinc Language

Modelling

Set Variables &Constraints

Modelling Checklist The disjunction of constraints (with  $\backslash /, xor, <-, ->, <->$ , exists, xorall, if  $\theta$  then  $\phi$  else  $\psi$  endif) often makes the flat code long and the solving slow. Try and express disjunctive combinations of constraints otherwise.

### Example

### The model snippet

constraint  $x = 0 \setminus / (low \le x / x \le up);$ 

with parameters low and up, should be reformulated as:

```
constraint x in {0} union low..up;
```

or, even better in this particular case, as:

```
var {0} union low..up: x;
```

Disjunction or other sources of slow solving may also be introduced by not.



Set Variables & Constraints

Modelling

Modelling

Checklist

### Example

### The model snippet

constraint x=3 / x=5 / x=7;

flattens into the very inefficient code:

```
var int: x;
var bool: B3; var bool: B5; var bool: B7;
constraint array_bool_or([B3,B5,B7],true);
constraint B3 -> x=3; % int_eq_imp(x,3,B3)
constraint B5 -> x=5; % this is called
constraint B7 -> x=7; % half-reification
```

It should be reformulated as constraint x in  $\{3, 5, 7\}$  or, even better, as var  $\{3, 5, 7\}$ : x, which both flatten into the latter: a domain is a disjunction of candidate values!



### Example

### The model snippet

The MiniZinc Language

Modelling

Set Variables & Constraints

Modelling Checklist constraint b -> x = 9; constraint (not b) -> x = 0; can be reformulated as (recall that bool2int (true)=1):

constraint x = 9 \* b;

or as (note that array indexing starts by default at 1):

constraint x = [0,9][1+b];

But beware of such premature fine-tuning of a model! The following reformulations are clearer and often good enough:

```
constraint x = if b then 9 else 0 endif;
```

and

```
constraint if b then x=9 else x=0 endif;
```

COCP/M4CO 2



## **Express the Problem Concisely**

The MiniZinc Language

#### Modelling

Set Variables &Constraints

Modelling Checklist Whenever possible, use a single predefined constraint predicate instead of a long-winded (quantified) formulation of its semantics.

### Example (The all\_different constraint predicate)

The constraint all\_different (X) on an array X of size n usually leads to faster solving than its definition by a conjunction of  $\frac{n \cdot (n-1)}{2}$  disequality constraints:

forall(i,j in index\_set(X) where i < j)(X[i] != X[j])</pre>

For more examples, see Topic 3: Constraint Predicates.



### Outline

The MiniZinc Language

Modelling

Set Variables & Constraints

Modelling Checklist **1.** The MiniZinc Language

2. Modelling

### 3. Set Variables & Constraints

### 4. Modelling Checklist



The MiniZinc Language Modelling Set Variables &Constraints Modelling Checklist

# Motivating Example 1

### Example (Agricultural experiment design, AED)

	plot1	plot2	plot3	plot4	plot5	plot6	plot7
barley							
corn							
millet							
oats							
rye							
spelt							
wheat							

### Constraints to be satisfied:

- 1 Equal growth load: Every plot grows 3 grains.
- 2 Equal sample size: Every grain is grown in 3 plots.
- 3 Balance: Every grain pair is grown in 1 common plot.



The MiniZinc Language Modelling Set Variables &Constraints Modelling Checklist

# Motivating Example 1

### Example (Agricultural experiment design, AED)

	plot1	plot2	plot3	plot4	plot5	plot6	plot7
barley	1	1	✓	-	-	-	—
corn	1	—	_	1	1	_	—
millet	1	-	-	-	-	1	1
oats	-	1	—	1	-	1	—
rye	—	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	—	—	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>		$\checkmark$
spelt	—	—	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	~	—		$\checkmark$
wheat	_	-	1	-	1	1	-

### Constraints to be satisfied:

- 1 Equal growth load: Every plot grows 3 grains.
- 2 Equal sample size: Every grain is grown in 3 plots.
- 3 Balance: Every grain pair is grown in 1 common plot.



The MiniZinc

Language

Modelling

Modelling Checklist

Set Variables

&Constraints

In a BIBD, the plots are blocks and the grains are varieties:

### Example (BIBD integer model $\square$ : $\checkmark \rightsquigarrow 1$ and $- \rightsquigarrow 0$ )

```
-3 enum Varieties; enum Blocks;
-2 int: blockSize; int: sampleSize; int: balance;
-1 array[Varieties,Blocks] of var 0..1: BIBD; % BIBD[v,b]=1 iff v is in b
0 solve satisfy;
1 constraint forall(b in Blocks) (blockSize = count(BIBD[..,b], 1));
2 constraint forall(v in Varieties)(sampleSize = count(BIBD[v,..], 1));
3 constraint forall(v, w in Varieties where v < w)
(balance = count([BIBD[v,b]+BIBD[w,b] | b in Blocks], 2));
```

### Example (Instance data for our AED C)

```
-3 Varieties = {barley,...,wheat}; Blocks = {plot1,...,plot7};
-2 blockSize = 3; sampleSize = 3; balance = 1;
```



### Example (Idea for another BIBD model)

The MiniZinc Language

Modelling

Set Variables &Constraints

Modelling Checklist

oarley	{plot1, plo	ot2, plot3				}	ł
corn	{plot1,		plot4,	olot5		}	r
millet	{plot1,				plot6,	plot7}	r
oats	{ plo	ot2,	plot4,		plot6	}	r
rye	{ plo	ot2,		plot5,		plot7}	r
spelt	{ plot3, plot4,					plot7}	r
wheat	{	plot3,		plot5,	plot6	}	r

### Constraints to be satisfied:

h

- 1 Equal growth load: Every plot grows 3 grains.
- 2 Equal sample size: Every grain is grown in 3 plots.
- 3 Balance: Every grain pair is grown in 1 common plot.



Modelling

Modelling

Checklist

Set Variables & Constraints

### Example (BIBD *set* model **C**: a block *set* per variety)

```
-3 enum Varieties; enum Blocks;
-2 int: blockSize; int: sampleSize; int: balance;
-1 array[Varieties] of var set of Blocks: BIBD; % BIBD[v] = blocks for v
0 solve satisfy;
1 constraint forall(b in Blocks)
    (blockSize = sum(v in Varieties)(b in BIBD[v]));
2 constraint forall(v in Varieties)
    (sampleSize = card(BIBD[v]));
3 constraint forall(v, w in Varieties where v < w)
    (balance = card(BIBD[v] intersect BIBD[w]));
```

### Example (Instance data for our AED C)

```
-3 Varieties = {barley,...,wheat}; Blocks = {plot1,...,plot7};
-2 blockSize = 3; sampleSize = 3; balance = 1;
```



# Motivating Example 2<sup>2</sup>

The MiniZinc Language

Modelling

Set Variables &Constraints

Modelling Checklist

### Example (Hamming code: problem)

The Hamming distance between two same-length strings is the number of positions at which the two strings differ. Examples: h(10001, 01001) = 2 and h(11010, 11110) = 1.

ASCII has codewords of m = 8 bits for  $n = 2^m$  symbols, but the least Hamming distance is d = 1: no robustness!

Toward high robustness in data transmission, we want to generate a codeword of m bits for each of the n symbols of an alphabet, such that the Hamming distance between any two codewords is at least some given constant d.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Based on material by Christian Schulte



Modelling

Set Variables &Constraints

Modelling Checklist

### Example (Hamming code: model $\square$ and data $\square$ )

We encode a codeword of *m* bits as the set of positions of its unit bits, the least significant bit being at position 1. Example: 10001 is encoded as  $\{1,5\}$ , and 01001 is encoded as  $\{1,4\}$ . In general:  $b_m \cdots b_1$  is encoded as  $\{1 \cdot b_1, \ldots, m \cdot b_m\} \setminus \{0\}$ . So the Hamming distance between two codewords is u - i, where *u* is the size of the union of their encodings and *i* is the size of the intersection of their encodings.

```
array[1..n] of var set of 1..m: Codeword;
constraint forall(i, j in 1..n where i < j)
  (card(Codeword[i] symdiff Codeword[j]) >= d);
```

### Definition

A set (decision) variable takes a set as value, and has a set of sets as domain. For its domain to be finite, a set variable must be a subset of a given finite set.



Modelling

Set Variables &Constraints

Modelling Checklist Set-constraint predicates exist for the following semantics:

- Cardinality: |S| = n
- Membership:  $n \in S$
- Equality:  $S_1 = S_2$
- **Disequality**  $S_1 \neq S_2$
- Subset:  $S_1 \subseteq S_2$
- Union:  $S_1 \cup S_2 = S_3$
- Intersection:  $S_1 \cap S_2 = S_3$
- $\blacksquare \text{ Difference: } S_1 \setminus S_2 = S_3$
- Symmetric difference:  $(S_1 \cup S_2) \setminus (S_1 \cap S_2) = S_3$
- $\blacksquare \text{ Order: } S_1 \subseteq S_2 \lor \min((S_1 \setminus S_2) \cup (S_2 \setminus S_1)) \in S_1$
- $\blacksquare \text{ Strict order: } \mathcal{S}_1 \subset \mathcal{S}_2 \lor \min((\mathcal{S}_1 \setminus \mathcal{S}_2) \cup (\mathcal{S}_2 \setminus \mathcal{S}_1)) \in \mathcal{S}_1$

where the  $S_i$  are set decision variables and n is an integer decision variable. Set variables may backfire in M4CO assignments, but may be useful in project.



Set Variables

&Constraints

Modelling

Checklist

## **Beware of Variable Integer Ranges**

Reification and set variables may appear while flattening complex expressions:

### Example

```
var 1..5: x; array[1..7] of var 1..9: X;
constraint forall(i in 1..x)(X[i]<3);</pre>
```

flattens into inefficient code, linear in the domain size of x:

```
var 1..5: x; array[1..7] of var 1..9: X;
var set of 1..5: S; % prefix of indices i with X[i]<3
var bool: B2; ...; var bool: B9;
constraint 1 in S; constraint X[1] < 3;
constraint B2 <-> 2 in S; constraint B2 <-> 2 <= x;
constraint B2 -> B6; constraint B6 -> X[2] < 3;
constraint ...;
constraint B5 <-> 5 in S; constraint B5 <-> 5 <= x;
constraint B5 -> B9; constraint B9 -> X[5] < 3;</pre>
```

#### Avoid ranges $\alpha \dots \beta$ where $\alpha$ or $\beta$ (or both) are decision variables.

COCP/M4CO 2



Set Variables &Constraints

Modelling

Checklist

# **Collection Variables**

### Definition

- A set decision variable has a set of sets as domain.
- An array decision variable has a set of arrays as domain.
- A string decision variable has a set of strings as domain.

MiniZinc currently has no syntax for the declaration of array variables and string variables, and MiniZinc currently has no constraint predicates for such decision variables (but our proposal at LOPSTR 2016 and CP-AI-OR 2017 for string variables and string constraint predicates is ready for integration).

### Subtle difference with imperative programming and OO programming!

array of variables = variable array  $\neq$  array variable

array[int] of var int:  $X \neq$  var array[int] of int: Y

X[i] is a variable; X itself is not a variable; Y would be a variable, if supported



## Outline

The MiniZinc Language Modelling Set Variables &Constraints

Modelling Checklist

#### **1.** The MiniZinc Language

2. Modelling

**3.** Set Variables & Constraints

### 4. Modelling Checklist



Set Variables &Constraints

Modelling

Modelling

Checklist

# **Conventions of all Slides (recommended!)**

- Scalar identifiers (bool, enum items, int) start with a lowercase letter.
- Mass identifiers (array, enum, set) start with an uppercase letter.
- Arrays have self-explanatory function identifiers: a given|unknown total function f: X → Y can be modelled as array [X] of par|var Y: F.
- Index identifiers are lowercase and mnemonic: memory aid.
- Comments about the *next* line end in ":", like line 2 in the example below.

### Example

- 1 int: nQueens; % the given number of queens
- 2 % Row[c] = the row number of the queen in column c:
- 3 array[1..nQueens] of var 1..nQueens: Row;

Variable Row[c] is like Row(c), denoting the function Row applied to arg. c. The array Row is *not* a variable, but an *array of* variables: it has row numbers, but calling it Rows would make Rows[c] seem to denote a *set* of rows for c!



Ideas for Debugging and Accelerating a Model

- If there are no solutions (or missing solutions) to a known-to-be satisfiable instance, then:
  - Comment away constraints in order to increase the solution set and thereby find unsatisfiable constraints.
  - In the IDE or CLI, choose findMUS as the backend in order to find a minimal unsatisfiable subset (MUS) of the constraints: see Section 3.8 of the MiniZinc Handbook.
- In the IDE, choose "Run > Profile compilation" in order to see per model line the numbers of constraints and decision variables generated by its flattening, and the flattening time: if some of these numbers are extreme, then you probably ran afoul of items of the checklist on the next slide.
- In the IDE, choose "Run > Compile" in order to inspect the flat code.

The MiniZinc Language Modelling

Set Variables &Constraints

Modelling Checklist



Set Variables &Constraints

Modelling

Modelling Checklist

## **Checklist for Designing or Reading a Model**

- 1 Each index of an array occurs in the semantics of the array
- 2 Each index range of an array either starts from 1 or is enum, for clarity
- 3 Beware of decision variables without tight domains
- 4 No explicit decision variables of type  $opt \tau$  are used (in this course)
- 5 No sum | forall (i in 1..x) with a decision variable x is used
- **6** Beware of where  $\theta$  and if  $\theta$  with test  $\theta$  containing decision variables
- 7 Beware of explicit (<->) and implicit ((...)) reification
- 8 Beware of negation and disjunction: not, \/, exists, xor, xorall, if θ then φ else ψ endif, <-, ->, <->
- 9 Beware of arbitrarily nested logical quantifications, such as forall(...exists(...forall(...)))
- 10 Beware of nonlinear, pow, div, mod constraints on decision variables