

Block 2x2 matrices

$$\rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} A & B^T \\ c & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{matrix} A(m, n) \\ B^T(m, m) \end{matrix} \quad (1)$$

$$\rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} A & B^T \\ c & -D \end{bmatrix} \quad D(m, m) \quad (2)$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \quad (3)$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & 0 \\ A_{21} & S \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_1 & A_{11}^{-1} A_{12} \\ 0 & I_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (4)$$

Schur complement

$$S = A_{22} - A_{21} A_{11}^{-1} A_{12}$$

$$\text{Case (1)}: S = -C A^{-1} B^T \quad (5)$$

$$\underline{QA} = \begin{bmatrix} I_1 & 0 \\ A_{21} A_{11}^{-1} & I_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & S \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_1 & A_{11}^{-1} A_{12} \\ 0 & I_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$P_D = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & S \end{bmatrix}$$

$$P_T = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & 0 \\ A_{21} & S \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \lambda \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & 0 \\ A_{21} & S \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(A - P_T) \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{(\lambda - 1)}_M \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A_{12} \\ 0 & A_{22} - S \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = M \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$$

→ Another approach:

$$P_T^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}^{-1} & 0 \\ -S^{-1}A_{21}A_{11}^{-1} & S^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\underline{P_T^{-1} A} =$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} I_1 & A_{11}^{-1} A_{12} \\ 0 & I_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

all eigenvalues = 1

P_T - the ideal
preconditioner

Problems with

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & 0 \\ A_{21} & S \end{bmatrix} ?$$

$$S = A_{22} - A_{21} \underline{A_{11}^{-1}} A_{12}$$

Solve with A_{11}^{-1}
within \mathcal{O}

In order to recover
the action of S on
a vector, it is required
to solve systems with
 A_{11} up to machine
accuracy!

Why? Because
otherwise we perturb
 S very much.

⇒ Too expensive!

Consider the case
with approximate
 A_{11} and $S \rightarrow \tilde{A}_{11}, \tilde{S}$
 $\tilde{P}_T = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{A}_{11} & 0 \\ A_{21} & \tilde{S} \end{bmatrix}$.

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \lambda \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{A}_{11} & 0 \\ A_{21} & \tilde{S} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{x} \\ \tilde{y} \end{bmatrix}$$

Generalized eigenvalue problem. Equivalent to

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{A}_{11}^{-1} & 0 \\ -\tilde{S}^{-1} A_{21} \tilde{A}_{11}^{-1} & \tilde{S}^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} =$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{A}_{11}^{-1} A_{11} & \tilde{A}_{11}^{-1} A_{12} \\ -\tilde{S}^{-1} A_{21} \tilde{A}_{11}^{-1} A_{11} + \tilde{S}^{-1} A_{21} & * \end{bmatrix}$$

$$* = -\tilde{S}^{-1} A_{21} \tilde{A}_{11}^{-1} A_{12} + \tilde{S}^{-1} A_{22}$$

$$= -\tilde{S}^{-1} [A_{22} - A_{21} \tilde{A}_{11}^{-1} A_{12} \pm A_{21} \tilde{A}_{11}^{-1} A_{12}]$$

$$= \tilde{S}^{-1} [S + A_{21} (\tilde{A}_{11}^{-1} - \tilde{A}_{11}^{-1}) A_{12}]$$

$$\tilde{S}^{-1} A_{21} (\tilde{A}_{11}^{-1} - \tilde{A}_{11}^{-1} - I_1)$$

Result:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{A}_{11}^{-1} A_{12} & \dots \\ \tilde{S}^{-1} A_{21} (\mathbf{I} - \tilde{A}_{11}^{-1} A_{11}) & \tilde{S}^{-1} S + \tilde{S}^{-1} * (A_{21} A_{11}^{-1} (\mathbf{I} - A_{11} \tilde{A}_{11}^{-1})) \end{bmatrix}$$

Moral: the approx. of A_{11} is very important!

Both \tilde{A}_{11} and \tilde{S} must be good approx. of the exact blocks.

If $\tilde{A}_{11} = A_{11}$, then

$$\tilde{P}^{-1} \tilde{Q} A = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} & \dots \\ \mathbf{0} & \tilde{S}^{-1} S \end{bmatrix}$$

What about $P_D^{-1}A$?

Exact A_{11}, S .

Problem is:

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{11}^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & S^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \lambda$$

The classical result is

for $QA = \begin{bmatrix} A & B^T \\ c & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

$$S = CA^{-1}B^T$$

$$P_D = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & CA^{-1}B^T \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Gamma = P_D^{-1}QA = \begin{bmatrix} I & A^{-1}B^T \\ (CA^{-1}B^T)c & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$? \lambda (P_D^{-1}A) = ?$$

Observe:

$$\left(T - \frac{1}{2}I\right)^2 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{4}I + \bar{A}^{-1}B^T(C\bar{A}^{-1}B)^{-1}C & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{5}{4}I \end{bmatrix}$$

The matrix
 $Q = A^{-1}B(CA^{-1}B)^{-1}C$ is
projector: $Q^2 = Q$

Then

$$\left[\left(T - \frac{1}{2}I\right)^2 - \frac{1}{4}I\right]^2 = \left[\left(T - \frac{1}{2}I\right)^2 - \frac{1}{4}I\right]$$

open
brackets

$$\text{Then: } T(T-I)(T^2-T-I) = 0$$

The characteristic
polynomial of T is

$$t(t-1)(t^2-t-1) = 0,$$

$t=0$ is a trivial root

$$t_1 = 1, \quad t_{2,3} = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{4+1}}{2},$$

The task in many cases is to find a good approx. of the Schur complement.

Examples:

Stokes problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \nabla p = f \\ \nabla u = 0 \end{cases}$$

Discretize with FEM:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{ii} & & & B^T \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & A_{jj} & \\ B & & & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} n \\ \\ \\ m \end{matrix}$$

$$S \approx M(m, m)$$

→ mass matrix
corresp. to P .

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} A_{ii} & 0 \\ B & M \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{l} A_{ii} - \text{use MG} \\ \quad \quad \quad \text{AMG} \\ M - \text{use Cheb} \end{array}$$

Navier - Stokes :

splitting techniques

$$1) \quad u^{k+1} = R u^k$$

$$2) \quad p^{k+1} : -\Delta p^{k+1} = g(u^{k+1})$$

Problem parameters

{ Reynolds number,
very large