





### Least square problems I

### Matrices and Statistics with Applications Solution of large sparse Least Square problems



SeSE, September 2020

Given A(m, n) with full column rank, b(n, 1), consistent with A. We want to solve

Ax = b

in the Least Squares sense, thus,  $x = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T b$ .

 $\langle \alpha \rangle$ 

We do not want to form  $A^T A$  because - it is usually badly conditioned - it is in general full even if A is sparse.

 $A^{T}A$  is symmetric positive definite and we have a method for such systems.





### The CG method:

Initialize: 
$$\mathbf{r}^{(0)} = A\mathbf{x}^{(0)} - \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{g}^{(0)} = \mathbf{r}^{(0)}$$
  
For  $k = 0, 1, \cdots$ , until convergence  
 $\tau_k = \frac{(\mathbf{r}^{(k)}, \mathbf{r}^{(k)})}{(A\mathbf{g}^k, \mathbf{g}^{(k)})}$   
 $\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{x}^{(k)} + \tau_k \mathbf{g}^k$   
 $\mathbf{r}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{r}^{(k)} + \tau_k A \mathbf{g}^k$   
 $\beta_k = \frac{(\mathbf{r}^{(k+1)}, \mathbf{r}^{(k+1)})}{(\mathbf{r}^{(k)}, \mathbf{r}^{(k)})}$   
 $\mathbf{g}^{k+1} = \mathbf{r}^{(k+1)} + \beta_k \mathbf{g}^k$   
end

( ~ )

 $\mathbf{r}^{(k)}$  – iteratively computed residuals  $\mathbf{g}^k$  – search directions

The Conjugate Gradient (CG) method



### CG: the algorithm

x = x0r = A \* x - bdelta0 = (r,r)q = -rRepeat:  $h = A \star q$ tau = delta0/(q,h)= x + tau \* qх = r + tau \* hr delta1 = (r,r)if delta1 <= eps, stop beta = delta1/delta0 $= -r + beta \star q$ a

Computational complexity of one CG iteration: O(N), where A(N, N), sparse.

### Optimality properties of the CG method

- *Opt1:* Mutually orthogonal search directions:  $(\mathbf{g}^{k+1}, A\mathbf{g}^j) = 0, j = 0, \dots, k$
- *Opt2:* There holds  $\mathbf{r}^{(k+1)} \perp K_m(A, \mathbf{r}^{(0)}, \text{ i.e., } (\mathbf{r}^{(k+1)}, A\mathbf{r}^{(k)}) = 0, j = 0, \cdots, k$
- *Opt3:* Optimization property:  $\|\mathbf{r}^{(k)}\|$  smallest possible at any step, since CG minimizes the functional  $f(\mathbf{x}) = 1/2(\mathbf{x}, A\mathbf{x}) - (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b})$
- *Opt4:*  $(\mathbf{e}^{(k+1),A\mathbf{g}^{j})} = (\mathbf{g}^{k+1},A\mathbf{g}^{j}) = (\mathbf{r}^{(k+1)},\mathbf{r}^{(k)}) = 0, j = 0, \cdots, k$
- *Opt5:* Finite termination property: there are no breakdowns of the CG algorithm. Reasoning: if  $\mathbf{g}^{j} = \mathbf{0}$  then  $\tau_{k}$  is not defined. the vectors  $\mathbf{g}^{j}$  are computed from the formula  $\mathbf{g}^{k} = \mathbf{r}^{(k)} + \beta_{k} \mathbf{g}^{k-1}$ . Then  $0 = (\mathbf{r}^{(k)}, \mathbf{g}^{j}) = -(\mathbf{r}^{(k)}, \mathbf{r}^{(k)}) + \beta_{k} \underbrace{(\mathbf{r}^{(k)}, \mathbf{g}^{k-1})}_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{q}} \Rightarrow \mathbf{r}^{(k)}\mathbf{0}$ , i.e., the solution is

already found.

As soon as  $\mathbf{x}^{(k)} \neq \mathbf{x}_{exact}$ , then  $\mathbf{r}^{(k)} \neq \mathbf{0}$  and then  $\mathbf{g}^{k+1} \neq \mathbf{0}$ . However, we can generate at most n mutually orthogonal vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , thus, CG has a finite termination property.



8/21

### Rate of convergence of the CG method

### Rate of convergence (cont)

Repeat:

$$\|\mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{k}}\|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq 2\left[\frac{\varkappa(\mathcal{A})+1}{\varkappa(\mathcal{A})-1}\right]^{k} \|\mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{0}}\|_{\mathcal{A}}$$

Seek now the smallest k, such that

$$\|\mathbf{e}^{k}\|_{A} \leq \varepsilon \|\mathbf{e}^{0}\|_{A}$$
  
we want  $\left(\frac{\varkappa+1}{\varkappa-1}\right)^{k} > \frac{2}{\varepsilon}$   
 $\Rightarrow k \ln\left(\frac{\varkappa+1}{\varkappa-1}\right) > \ln(\frac{2}{\varepsilon})$   
 $\Rightarrow k > \ln(\frac{2}{\varepsilon})/\ln\left(\frac{\varkappa+1}{\varkappa-1}\right)$   
 $\Rightarrow k > \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\varkappa}\ln(\frac{2}{\varepsilon})$ 



5/21

**Theorem:** Let A is symmetric and positive definite. Suppose that for some set S, containing all eigenvalues of A, for some polynomial  $P(\lambda) \in \Pi^1_k$  and some constant M there holds  $\max_{\lambda \in \mathcal{S}} \left| \widetilde{P}(\lambda) \right|$  $\leq M$ . Then,

$$\|\mathbf{x}_{exact} - \mathbf{x}^{(k)}\|_A \le M \|\mathbf{x}_{exact} - \mathbf{x}^{(0)}\|_A$$

$$\|\mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{k}}\|_{A} \leq 2\left[rac{arkappa(A)+1}{arkappa(A)-1}
ight]^{k}\|\mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{0}}\|_{A}$$

 $\varkappa(A)$  - the condition number of A,  $\|\times\|_A^2 = (x, Ax)$ 

### CG - A Krylov subspace iteration method



Definition of a Krylov subspace, based on a vector  $\mathbf{v} \in R^n$  and a matrix  $B \in R^{n \times n}$ ,

 $\mathcal{K}_k(B, \mathbf{v}) = span\{\mathbf{v}, B\mathbf{v}, B^2\mathbf{v}, \cdots, B^{k-1}\mathbf{v}\}.$ 

Through the iterations, CG constructs a Krylov subspace, based on  ${\cal A}$  and  ${\it b}.$ 

Remarkably, the solution x lies in that space!

CGLS - Conjugate Gradient for Least Square problems, i.e., CG for the normal equation

Remember, we do not want to form  $A^T A!!$ 





### History:

CG has appeared in a paper by Hestenes and Stiefel (1952). In that paper and in a followup paper by Stiefel (1952), a version of CG for solving the normal equation has peen presented.

First result for using a preconditioned CG for solving Least Square problems appears in a paper by Peter Läuchli (1959).

### CGLS

Recall the definition of a Krylov subspace, based on a vector  $\mathbf{v} \in R^n$  and a matrix  $B \in R^{n \times n}$ ,

$$\mathcal{K}_k(B, \mathbf{v}) = span\{\mathbf{v}, B\mathbf{v}, B^2\mathbf{v}, \cdots, B^{k-1}\mathbf{v}\}.$$

The standard CG method minimizes the following functional

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}, A\mathbf{x}) - (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b}).$$

Let A be rectangular and denote  $A^{\dagger}$  be its pseudoinverse. Denote  $\hat{x} = A^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{b}$  - the pseudoinverse solution and the corresponding residual  $\hat{\boldsymbol{r}} = A\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}$ . Then, in the CG framework,  $\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}^{k}$  minimizes the following error functional:

$$E_{\mu}(\widehat{\mathbf{x}}^{k}) = (\widehat{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{x}^{k})^{T} (A^{T} A)^{\mu} (\widehat{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{x}^{k})$$











### CGLS II

Properties of CGSL:

- $E_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}^k)$  decreases monotonically.
- For  $\mu = 1, 2$ ,  $E_{\nu}(\mathbf{x}^k)$  decreases monotonically for all  $\nu \leq \mu$ .
- for  $\mu = 1$  also  $\mathbf{r}^k$  decreases monotonically.
- ► The rate of convergence is estimated as follows:

$$E_{\mu}(\boldsymbol{x}^{k}) < 2\left(rac{\sqrt{arkappa}-1}{\sqrt{arkappa}+1}
ight)^{k}E_{\mu}(\boldsymbol{x}^{0}),$$

where  $\varkappa = \varkappa (A^T A)$ .

For µ = 1, both ||r̂ − r<sup>k</sup>|| and ||x̂ − x<sup>k</sup>|| decrease monotonically, however ||A<sup>T</sup>r<sup>k</sup>|| does oscillate (not due to roundoff errors).



### Algorithm CGLS

 $\mu = 1 - CGLS$ 

Values of  $\mu$  of practical interest:  $\mu = 0$  minimizes  $\|\hat{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{x}^k\|_2^2$ 

 $\mu = 2$  minimizes  $||A^T(\hat{r} - r^k)||_2^2$ 

 $\mu = 1$  minimizes  $\|\hat{r} - r^k\|_2^2 = \|\hat{r}\|_2^2 - \|r^k\|_2^2$ 

 $\mu = 0$  - feasible only for consistent systems.

 $E_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}^{k}) = (\widehat{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{x}^{k})^{T} (A^{T} A)^{\mu} (\widehat{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{x}^{k})$ 

(due to the orthogonality relation  $\hat{r} \perp \hat{r} - r^k$ )

## CGSL I

Note:  $x, g \in R^n$ ,  $r, h \in R^m$ ,  $(A \in R^{n \times m})$ 

With  $s = A^T (b - Ax)$ , by construction, x minimizes

 $s^T (A^T A)^{-1} s$ 

over the space  $\mathcal{K}_k(A^T A, A^T b)$ . Thus,  $s^k \in T_k$ ,  $T_k = \{A^T(b - Ax) | x \in \mathcal{K}_k(A^T A, A^T b)\}$  and any vector from  $T_k$  can be expressed as

$$\boldsymbol{s}^{k} = (\boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{A}^{T} \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{k-1} (\boldsymbol{A}^{T} \boldsymbol{A})) \boldsymbol{A}^{T} \boldsymbol{b} = \mathcal{R}_{k} (\boldsymbol{A}^{T} \boldsymbol{A}) \boldsymbol{A}^{T} \boldsymbol{b},$$

where  $\mathcal{P}_{k-1}$  is a polynomial of degree k-1 and  $\mathcal{R}_k$  is a residual polynomial of degree less than or equal k and is normalized at zero, thus  $\mathcal{R}_k(0) = 1$ .

15/21



16/21

14/21

### CGSL II





### CGSL III

$$\|\boldsymbol{s}^{k}\|_{(A^{T}A)^{-1}} = \min_{\mathcal{R}\in\Pi_{k}} \|\mathcal{R}_{k}(A^{T}A)A^{T}\boldsymbol{b}^{k}\|_{(A^{T}A)^{-1}}$$

Consider the singular value decomposition of A,  $A = U\Sigma V^T$ . Then

$$\boldsymbol{b} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i \boldsymbol{u}_i, \quad A^T \boldsymbol{b} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i \sigma_i \boldsymbol{v}_i$$

and

$$\|\boldsymbol{s}^{k}\|_{(\mathcal{A}^{T}\mathcal{A})^{-1}}^{2} = \min_{\mathcal{R}\in\Pi_{k}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}b_{i}^{2}\mathcal{R}_{k}^{2}(\sigma_{i}^{2}).$$

$$\|s^{k}\|_{(A^{T}A)^{-1}}^{2} = \min_{\mathcal{R}\in\Pi_{k}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}b_{i}^{2}\mathcal{R}_{k}^{2}(\sigma_{i}^{2}).$$

Any polynomial from  $\Pi_k$  will give an upper bound. For the choice

$$\mathcal{R}_n(\sigma^2) = \left(1 - \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma_1^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma_2^2}\right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma_n^2}\right)$$

we get  $\|s_n\|_{(A^TA)^{-1}} = 0$ , which shows the final termination property of CGLS.

If A has only q distinct singular values, then CGLS will converge in at most q iterations.



### Algorithm: Preconditioned CGLS

Preconditioning

CG:

 $Ax = b \rightarrow C^{-1}Ax = C^{-1}b$ 

such that  $\varkappa(C^{-1}A)$  is small, as close as possible to 1. For CG the important role is played by the eigenvalues of  $\varkappa(C^{-1}A)$ . A good preconditioner for CGLS: the distinct singular values of the preconditioned matrix should be very few!

The normal equations for the preconditioned problem in factored form:

$$C^{-T}A^{T}(AC^{-1}\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{b})=C^{-T}A^{T}(A\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{b})=0.$$

The convergence now depends on the condition number  $\varkappa(AC^{-1})$ .

17/21

# Algorithm: Preconditioned CGLS

Preconditioned CGLS
x = x0,
$r = b - A^*x;$
$g = s = C^{-1} A^{T*}r$
delta0 = (s,s)
Repeat: $t=C^{-1}s$ ; $h = A*s$
tau = delta0/(h,h)
$x = x + tau^{*t}$
$r = r - tau^*h$
$s = C^{-1}A^T r$
delta1 = (s,s)
op if delta1 <= eps, stop
beta = delta1/delta0
g = s + beta*g

21/21