

Propositional Satisfiability (SAT): Ordered Resolution

Tjark Weber

+ slides by Daniel Le Berre



The resolution principle and classical simplification rules

John Alan Robinson, "A Machine-Oriented Logic Based on the Resolution Principle", Communications of the ACM, 5:23-41, 1965.

$$\text{resolution: } \frac{x_1 \vee \boxed{x_2} \vee x_3 \quad x_1 \vee \boxed{\neg x_2} \vee x_4}{x_1 \vee x_1 \vee x_3 \vee x_4}$$

$$\text{merging: } \frac{\boxed{x_1 \vee x_1} \vee x_3 \vee x_4}{\boxed{x_1} \vee x_3 \vee x_4}$$

$$\text{subsumption: } \frac{\boxed{\alpha} \vee \beta \quad \boxed{\alpha}}{\alpha}$$

The resolution principle and classical simplification rules

John Alan Robinson, "A Machine-Oriented Logic Based on the Resolution Principle", Communications of the ACM, 5:23-41, 1965.

$$\text{resolution: } \frac{x_1 \vee \boxed{x_2} \vee x_3 \quad x_1 \vee \boxed{\neg x_2} \vee x_4}{x_1 \vee x_1 \vee x_3 \vee x_4}$$

$$\text{merging: } \frac{\boxed{x_1 \vee x_1} \vee x_3 \vee x_4}{\boxed{x_1} \vee x_3 \vee x_4}$$

$$\text{subsumption: } \frac{\boxed{\alpha} \vee \beta \quad \boxed{\alpha}}{\alpha}$$

What happens if we apply resolution between $\neg x_1 \vee x_2 \vee x_3$ and $x_1 \vee \neg x_2 \vee x_4$?

The resolution principle and classical simplification rules

John Alan Robinson, "A Machine-Oriented Logic Based on the Resolution Principle", Communications of the ACM, 5:23-41, 1965.

$$\text{resolution: } \frac{x_1 \vee \boxed{x_2} \vee x_3 \quad x_1 \vee \boxed{\neg x_2} \vee x_4}{x_1 \vee x_1 \vee x_3 \vee x_4}$$

$$\text{merging: } \frac{\boxed{x_1 \vee x_1} \vee x_3 \vee x_4}{\boxed{x_1} \vee x_3 \vee x_4}$$

$$\text{subsumption: } \frac{\boxed{\alpha} \vee \beta \quad \boxed{\alpha}}{\alpha}$$

What happens if we apply resolution between $\neg x_1 \vee x_2 \vee x_3$ and $x_1 \vee \neg x_2 \vee x_4$?

A tautology: $\boxed{x_2 \vee \neg x_2} \vee x_3 \vee x_4$.

Applying resolution to decide satisfiability

- ▶ Apply resolution between clauses with exactly one opposite literal
- ▶ possible outcome:
 - ▶ a new clause is derived: remove subsumed clauses
 - ▶ the resolvent is subsumed by an existing clause
- ▶ until empty clause derived or **no new clause derived**
- ▶ Main issues of the approach:
 - ▶ In which order should the resolution steps be performed?
 - ▶ huge memory consumption!

The Davis and Putnam procedure: basic idea

Davis, Martin; Putnam, Hillary (1960). "A Computing Procedure for Quantification Theory". Journal of the ACM 7 (3): 201-215.

Resolution used for variable elimination: $(A \vee x) \wedge (B \vee \neg x) \wedge R$ is satisfiable iff $(A \vee B) \wedge R$ is satisfiable.

- ▶ Iteratively apply the following steps:
 - ▶ Select variable x
 - ▶ Apply resolution between every pair of clauses of the form $(x \vee \alpha)$ and $(\neg x \vee \beta)$
 - ▶ Remove all clauses containing either x or $\neg x$
- ▶ Terminate when either the empty clause or the empty formula is derived

Proof system: ordered resolution

Variable elimination – An Example

$$(x_1 \vee \neg x_2 \vee \neg x_3) \wedge (\neg x_1 \vee \neg x_2 \vee \neg x_3) \wedge (x_2 \vee x_3) \wedge (x_3 \vee x_4) \wedge (x_3 \vee \neg x_4) \models$$

Variable elimination – An Example

$$(\boxed{x_1} \vee \neg x_2 \vee \neg x_3) \wedge (\boxed{\neg x_1} \vee \neg x_2 \vee \neg x_3) \wedge (x_2 \vee x_3) \wedge (x_3 \vee x_4) \wedge (x_3 \vee \neg x_4) \models$$

$$(\boxed{\neg x_2} \vee \neg x_3) \wedge (\boxed{x_2} \vee x_3) \wedge (x_3 \vee x_4) \wedge (x_3 \vee \neg x_4) \models$$

Variable elimination – An Example

$$(x_1 \vee \neg x_2 \vee \neg x_3) \wedge (\neg x_1 \vee \neg x_2 \vee \neg x_3) \wedge (x_2 \vee x_3) \wedge (x_3 \vee x_4) \wedge (x_3 \vee \neg x_4) \models$$

$$(\neg x_2 \vee \neg x_3) \wedge (x_2 \vee x_3) \wedge (x_3 \vee x_4) \wedge (x_3 \vee \neg x_4) \models$$

$$(x_3 \vee x_4) \wedge (x_3 \vee \neg x_4) \models$$

Variable elimination – An Example

$$(\boxed{x_1} \vee \neg x_2 \vee \neg x_3) \wedge (\boxed{\neg x_1} \vee \neg x_2 \vee \neg x_3) \wedge (x_2 \vee x_3) \wedge (x_3 \vee x_4) \wedge (x_3 \vee \neg x_4) \models$$

$$(\boxed{\neg x_2} \vee \neg x_3) \wedge (\boxed{x_2} \vee x_3) \wedge (x_3 \vee x_4) \wedge (x_3 \vee \neg x_4) \models$$

$$(x_3 \vee \boxed{x_4}) \wedge (x_3 \vee \boxed{\neg x_4}) \models$$

$$\boxed{x_3} \models$$

Variable elimination – An Example

$$(\boxed{x_1} \vee \neg x_2 \vee \neg x_3) \wedge (\boxed{\neg x_1} \vee \neg x_2 \vee \neg x_3) \wedge (x_2 \vee x_3) \wedge (x_3 \vee x_4) \wedge (x_3 \vee \neg x_4) \models$$

$$(\boxed{\neg x_2} \vee \neg x_3) \wedge (\boxed{x_2} \vee x_3) \wedge (x_3 \vee x_4) \wedge (x_3 \vee \neg x_4) \models$$

$$(x_3 \vee \boxed{x_4}) \wedge (x_3 \vee \boxed{\neg x_4}) \models$$

$$\boxed{x_3} \models$$

T

► Formula is SAT

- ▶ The approach runs easily out of memory.
- ▶ Even recent attempts using a ROBDD representation [Simon and Chatalic 2000] do not scale well.
- ▶ The solution: using **backtrack search**!