Thesis projects

and reports Johannes Borgström

Where to begin Write report Idea Do the work Idea Write report Do the work

First step: the project plan! Let the report feed your work (not the other way around)

Where to begin



- Start writing day 1!
- Mandatory events to make sure you do:
 - Day 1: Make a disposition of the whole report and discuss it with your reviewer
 - Mid course meeting: Focusing on what you have written so far (which should be plenty by then).
 Book a time for this now!

Swedish or English?

- English is recommended
 - Easier to find a reviewer
 - Wider audience for your thesis
 - No need to translate technical terms
- Swedish
 - if you think language quality would suffer otherwise
 - if your supervisor requires it

Who is the reader?

- Consider whom you're writing for!
 - Your supervisor?
 - Your subject reviewer/examiner?
 - Yourself at the start of the work?
 - A random student in your class?
- Be explicit and comprehensive!
 - Don't take things for granted
- Will your report make sense 10 years from now?
 - Affects both when you refer to sources, and to where you can refer

- Title
- Abstract
- Table of contents
- 1. Introduction
- 2. Background (optional)
- 3. Body (several sections)
- 4. ...
- 5. Related work (that is not part of introduction)
- 6. Discussion (optional)
- 7. Conclusions and future work
 - References

lf you need a glossary, insert it here

- Title
- Abstract
- 1. Introduction
- 2. Background
- 3. Body
- 4. ...
- 5. Related work
- 6. Discussion
- 7. Conclusions and future work
 - References

Title

- The 'face' of your report
 - Maybe few people read your report, but many will read the title!
- You have 2 seconds to catch the reader's interest!
- Short
- Informative
- True

- Title
- Abstract
- 1. Introduction
- 2. Background
- 3. Body
- 4. ...
- 5. Related work
- 6. Discussion
- 7. Conclusions and future work
 - References

Abstract

- Written last!
- Up to half a page.
- Come directly to the point!
 - 1. What's the problem?
 - 2. Why does it need to be solved? (optional)
 - 3. How did you solve it?
 - 4. What are the results?
 - 5. Conclusion (what it means for the future)
- Make sure the abstract stands on its own!
 - No reference tags
 - Avoid acronyms

- Title
- Abstract
- 1. Introduction
- 2. Background
- 3. Body
- 4. ...
- 5. Related work
- 6. Discussion
- 7. Conclusions and future work
 - References

Introduction

- 1. Describe the problem
 - Probably including some prior work but not necessarily all related work
 - If this grows too big, consider inserting a Background section after the Introduction
- 2. State your contributions
 - Perhaps as a bulleted list (optional)
 - For each contribution, refer to where in the report you go into more details, or finish with a short paragraph about the structure of the rest of the report

Problem Formulation

- The problem is described in a concrete and relevant way.
- There is a clear motivation and context for the problem described.
- The problem is clearly delimited.
- Issues are identified that are relevant to the problem

13

• Those issues can be evaluated.

- Title
- Abstract
- 1. Introduction
- 2. Background
- 3. Body
- 4. ...
- 5. Related work
- 6. Discussion
- 7. Conclusions and future work
 - References

Background

- What does a reader need to know to understand what you have done?
 - This varies wildly between reports.
 - Remember the canonical reader!

- Title
- Abstract
- 1. Introduction
- 2. Background
- 3. Body
- 4. ...
- 5. Related work
- 6. Discussion
- 7. Conclusions and future work
 - References

Body

Subject dependent. For example:

- Theory \rightarrow Method \rightarrow Results
- Requirements → Design → Implementation →
 Evaluation
- Existing methods \rightarrow Comparison \rightarrow Suggestions

Explaining things

- Do it top-down! (when possible)
- Intuition first, details later
 - Easier to understand the details
 - A reader who skips the details, gets something valuable anyway
- The order in which you discovered or did things, may not be the best order for the reader!

Explaining decisions

- Justify your decisions!
- Describe also the alternatives!
 - How did you come up with them?
 - Why you did <u>not</u> choose them?
 - (Some of them may of course be open for discussion later, in the Discussion or Future work sections)

- Title
- Abstract
- 1. Introduction
- 2. Background
- 3. Body
- 4. ...
- 5. Related work
- 6. Discussion
- 7. Conclusions and future work
 - References

Related work

- Part of the introduction or after the body
 - After the body: easier to explain, shorter intro
 - Prior work \subseteq Related work
- Credit is not like money!
 - Giving credit to someone else does not take away from yours!
 - Failing to give credit, however, does!
 - If you claim an idea is yours when it isn't, you either did not know (bad), or you knew but pretended it was yours (very bad)

- Title
- Abstract
- 1. Introduction
- 2. Background
- 3. Body
- 4. ...
- 5. Related work
- 6. Discussion
- 7. Conclusions and future work
 - References

Discussion

- Connect the results from the main part of the paper
- Make connections to background and related work
- Make judgments!
 - good enough, significant, too slow, expressive
- Provide the bigger picture

- Title
- Abstract
- 1. Introduction
- 2. Background
- 3. Body
- 4. ...
- 5. Related work
- 6. Discussion
- 7. Conclusions and future work
 - References

Conclusions and future work

- Summarize your contributions
 - Be honest!
 - Acknowledge weaknesses in your work
- Conclusions from the results
- Implications for the future
- No new information in this section!



- Title
- Abstract
- 1. Introduction
- 2. Background
- 3. Body
- 4. ...
- 5. Related work
- 6. Discussion
- 7. Conclusions and future work
 - References

References in Text

- Always refer to the literature when
 - you first introduce an established concept
 - you claim things for which there is no evidence in this report
 - you are quoting (including figures from other sources, which require a reference in the caption)
- Most common reference tag formats
 - Vancouver [1] (most common in computer science)
 - Harvard (Andersson, 2019)
- If you want to refer to a certain page, do so in the tag [1, p.17], not in the reference list!

Reference List

- References in the list must be complete!
 - a source, not just a name and a title
- Refer to the <u>publication</u>, not to the web site where you found the paper!
 - web links to publications should be to the publisher's web site, or via the DOI
- Avoid web references!
 - Often neither authoritative nor of high quality
 - Content may, and probably will, change
 - Imagine someone reading your report in 10 years
 - If a web site really is the best source, include:
 - a link to an independent archive (e.g. Wayback Machine)
 - date of access

Language

- Don't write as you talk! (or chat)
- Grammatically correct English, including ...
 - Articles ('the', 'a', etc)
 - Singular/plural dependencies (is/are, has/have and verbs with or without an 's')
- Be personal if you wish, but within reason!
 - Your reviewer decides what "within reason" means
 - Don't address the reader directly! ("you")
- Spell check!
- Have someone else proof read
- Spell out acronyms first time they are used
- Use figures!

The most common mistakes

- Forgetting who the reader is
- Taking too much for granted
- Poor reproducibility (lack of details)
- Not supporting your claims by references
- Unnecessary web references
- Incomplete references
 - Author and title is not sufficient

Common project faults

- Lack of access (to tools, data, or supervision)
- Getting stuck / going slow
- Starting to work on other things
- Not writing enough

Good luck!