

# **Standards**



# Internet of Things for Smart Cities: Interoperability and Open Data

Bengt Ahlgren • SICS Swedish ICT

Markus Hidell • KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Sweden

Edith C.-H. Ngai • Uppsala University, Sweden

The Internet of Things (IoT) for smart cities needs accessible open data and open systems, so that industries and citizens can develop new services and applications. As an example, the authors provide a case study of the GreenIoT platform in Uppsala, Sweden.

oday's cities face a variety of challenges, including job creation, economic growth, environmental sustainability, and social resilience. Emissions from motor vehicles have become a major source of air pollution in the world's large and medium-sized cities. Many large cities experience serious air pollution and greenhouse gas emission (GHG), which is made worse by increasing traffic congestion. With these challenges in mind, the European Union and many other countries are investing in information and communication technology (ICT) research and innovation, and developing policies to improve the quality of life of citizens and sustainability of cities. Given the trend of ICT for smart sustainable cities, understanding where we are in the evolution of the Internet is critical to future city-planning processes.

The Internet of Things (IoT) has been viewed as a promising technology with great potential for addressing many societal challenges. Cisco believes that many organizations are currently experiencing the IoT, the networked connection of physical objects and the cyberspace.<sup>1</sup> According to the International Data Corporation (IDC)'s *Worldwide Internet of Things Forecast, 2015–2020, 30* billion connected (autonomous) things are predicted to be part of the IoT by 2020 (see www.idc.com/ infographics/IoT). The IoT market size is forecast to grow from US\$157 billion in 2016 to \$661 billion by 2021.<sup>2</sup> The adoption of cloud platforms, development of cheaper and smarter sensors, and evolution of high-speed networks are expected to drive the growth of the IoT market.

Many cities, such as London and New York, see the increasing need and interest of the public sectors to explore IoT technologies to improve traffic flow, reduce pollution and energy consumption, and collect data for policing. Smart cities are an urban development vision to integrate multiple ICT solutions to manage a city's assets to create a sustainable environment, improve the quality of life, and enhance efficiency and economical value. The number of new IoT products and applications has grown exponentially in recent years. Various communication standards and protocols have been suggested in the community, and some have been adopted in different IoT devices. However, there are also quite a few proprietary protocols and cloud services in the IoT, which make the interoperability and sharing of data across different devices and platforms quite challenging. Open data in smart cities means not only global data collected and opened by the government, but also includes the sharing

52

1089-7801/16/\$33.00 © 2016 IEEE

IEEE INTERNET COMPUTING



# Internet of Things for Smart Cities: Interoperability and Open Data

Table 1. Standardized IP-based communication protocols for Internet of Things (IoT) devices.		
Layer	Protocol	
Application	IETF Constrained Application Protocol (CoAP)/REST engine	Message Queuing Telemetry Transport (MQTT)
Transport	UDP	TCP
Network	IPv6, RPL	
Adaptation	IPv6 over low-power wireless personal area networks (6LoWPAN)	
Media access control (MAC)	Carrier sense multiple access (CSMA)	
Physical	IEEE 802.15.4	

of data among individual citizens and industries with the government and general public. In this article, we'll discuss the advantages of open data and standards within the IoT, current limitations, and future trends.

## **IoT for Smart Cities**

The IoT provides individuals, society, and the business world new opportunities to access volumes of data and to develop new applications and services for creating a cleaner environment and more intelligent society.3 The information society is rapidly becoming a central pillar for urban planners, architects, developers, and transportation providers, as well as in public service provision. One good example is using smartphones and smart meters to regulate energy consumption in the Hyllie smart networks of Malmö, Sweden.<sup>4</sup> The system enables people to measure, monitor, control, and influence their own energy consumption, and be able to independently produce renewable energy (for example, by using solar panels). One way to optimize the use of renewable energy and reduce costs is to decide how and when you want to charge your electric car. Consumers are informed of the supply of renewable energy in the system and how much electricity costs via smartphones or tablets.

From a public sector leadership perspective, cities can be viewed as microcosms of the interconnected networks for building a clean, energy-efficient, and sustainable society. In Amsterdam, a network-enabled LED streetlighting system has been developed to reduce the city's energy consumption and costs.<sup>5</sup> Similarly, in the US, Cisco and a wide range of public and private stakeholders in Chicago have been driving smart community initiatives to improve neighboring services and the quality of life.<sup>6</sup> IoT solutions are more effective when they facilitate open data and encourage public engagement, to achieve the goals of increasing productivity, decreasing costs, and improving citizens' quality of life.

### Interoperability and Open Standard Development

With the popularity of IoT devices, many IoT protocols and standards have been developed. In contrast to ordinary computers, IoT devices are normally constrained when it comes to memory space and processing capacity. In addition, IoT devices might be deployed where there's limited or no access to continuous power supply, which means that they need to operate under power supplied from batteries or small solar panels. As a consequence, power-efficient communication protocols with small memory footprints and limited demands on processing have been developed to support IoT devices. Traditional TCP/IP protocols haven't been designed with these requirements in mind. Over the past years, however, IoT protocols have been standardized on virtually all layers of the protocol stack. These protocols typically have low complexity as an important design goal and are optimized for constrained environments.

Table 1 shows a few examples of IP-based open protocol standards commonly used for IoT communication. For instance, IEEE 802.15.4 has been widely adopted in many smart devices as the MAC and Physical layer protocol. Several network layer and application layer protocols have also been proposed for constrained devices. Standard protocols are important to guarantee interoperability of different IoT devices.

Qmags

However, using open standards doesn't automatically result in open systems. In our context, an open system means an integrated open IoT infrastructure solution for smart cities, providing access to open data and APIs for cloud services. In many cities, that infrastructure will be paid for, at least in part, by the city authorities using public funding. To motivate this investment, and get the most benefit for society, we argue that any smart city IoT infrastructure needs to be a truly open system, where equipment from many vendors can be used, and where the generated data can be more or less freely used by anyone to develop new services, based on low-level as well as processed sensor and IoT data. This kind of system will maximize innovation in the IoT domain, much as the Internet has done for information and communication services.

Many current IoT systems – for example, for air quality monitoring or the smart home – are either incomplete systems with limited functionalities (that is, in terms of sensing, storage, and analytics), or are closed, proprietary systems dedicated for a particular task. The latter are vertically integrated systems, sometimes called *stove pipes* or *vertical silos*, which can't be combined or extended

Internet Computing



53

## Standards




Figure 1. An IoT system that includes heterogeneous sensors to collect data for smart city development. (Photo provided courtesy of Bengt Ahlgren.)

easily with third-party components or services. The result is that once invested in a particular system, you're locked into that vendor's system. Vertically integrated systems are particularly problematic for the public sector, because this prevents fair competition in public procurement and is less suitable for large-scale data sharing.

Patrik Fältström<sup>7</sup> argues similarly that market forces work against open interoperability, especially in the IoT domain where, for example, a smart lighting system from one vendor only works with light bulbs from the same vendor. Systems are designed as endto-cloud-to-end, where the cloud part is vendor-controlled with limited possibilities for third parties, and where the IoT devices often speak proprietary protocols to the cloud. Fältström argues that this lack of interoperability severely limits the market growth (for example, with smart light bulbs). Also, the dependence on a cloud service might render the device nonfunctional, should that cloud service for any reason, temporarily or permanently, disappear.

Instead of these stove pipes, we need horizontally designed systems with well-defined interfaces and data formats that can unleash the potential of open data, and that enable third parties to independently develop new applications and services, possibly combining several data sources. Providing open data has huge potential for innovation in digital applications and services, resulting in very large economic values. These interfaces (APIs) through which the IoT data can be accessed at multiple levels of refinement - from raw data directly from sensors, to highly processed data - also need standardization. The challenge is to provide an open system that lets users access the open data and cloud services without being locked by a particular platform. The open system should also allow third-parties to innovate based on the open data and open APIs.

# Case Study: GreenloT Project in Sweden

We developed a GreenIoT solution that incorporates smart sensing and cloud computing technologies to encompass a more interactive and responsive city administration with private and public parties. The proposed open GreenIoT platform supports a wide range of applications, such as environmental monitoring, transportation, factory process optimization, and home security, and enables third-party innovation in new IoT-based services. Driven by Uppsala Municipality, we implement and demonstrate GreenIoT as a testbed in the city of Uppsala (the fourth largest city in Sweden) to support air pollution monitoring and traffic planning. Because the particulate level of Uppsala occasionally exceeds the EU standard, in particular during the winter and early spring, one objective is to reduce air pollution through active monitoring, traffic management, and better city planning.

Qmags

Existing IoT technologies have largely contributed to hardware, software and protocol design. However, a major challenge of the IoT lies in how to extract valuable information from vast volumes of data generated from the smart devices (also known as the "Big Data" problem). Our GreenIoT solution leverages cloud computing to support intelligent data management, and integrate with green networking and sensing techniques to support energy-efficient and sustainable operations. The GreenIoT platform in Uppsala will be based on open standards, open to the public and supporting industries to test their new sensing products. It provides open data and open APIs for third parties to access the sensor data and make use of the cloud services. The open data generated by the smart devices and platform will drive the development of innovative applications and services.


One major goal of the project is an integrated solution for an environmental sensing system, which enables experimentation with applications and services using open environmental data, particularly for sustainable urban and transportation planning (see Figure 1). The GreenIoT architecture is manifested in terms of a testbed in Uppsala. The sensing system and application platform

www.computer.org/internet/

54

IEEE INTERNET COMPUTING





are built from unique technology that provides open interfaces at several levels, energy and resource efficiency, and application independence. We use a unique tool for visualization in four dimensions, which supports smart city simulations and is fully integrated with the sensor data for real-time feedback. The testbed, including the open data and open APIs, allow third parties to develop and experiment new sensing products and services that could be exported to international markets.

To fulfill user requirements – from advanced tools for city planning as well as from novel applications making sensor data useful to citizens – we devised the GreenIoT architecture (see Figure 2).

Data produced by sensor networks are delivered through sensor gateways for storage and processing managed by cloud services for sensor data. The sensors use a publish/ subscribe protocol, Message Queuing Telemetry Transport (MQTT), to communicate data in an open format through a broker for further storage and processing in the cloud, or for direct use by applications and services. We're also experimenting with information-centric networking<sup>8</sup> for direct access to sensor data.

Sensor data can be retrieved by tools and applications through welldefined APIs. The sensor data cloud services support both requests for raw sensor data and for pre-processed sensor data. Pre-processed data can be described as a grid of estimated values for a geographical region, where the values are calculated from the actual data produced by sensors in that region. A set of pre-processing types has been defined, such as interpolated data, hourly average, daily average, and weekly average. These types should be seen as a starting point, and more types are likely to be defined in the future. In the long run, it even should be possible for tools and applications to define




Figure 2. The GreenloT architecture. Our focus is on open access and interoperability, to fulfill user requirements – from advanced tools for city planning as well as from novel applications making sensor data useful to citizens.

processing that can be executed by the sensor data cloud services and then retrieve refined data according to their demands. The open APIs and open data format will facilitate the sharing of open data and guarantee the accessibility of cloud services without relying on a single device manufacturer or service provider.

he vision of the "smart city," making use of the IoT to provide services for the good of citizens and public authorities, promises solutions to some of today's societal challenges such as air quality, transportation, and energy efficiency. These IoT systems must be based on open data and open standards, including protocols and interfaces, so that the systems enable third-party innovation in new services, and to avoid vendor lock-in. Standardized protocols might not be enough to achieve these goals - systems must be designed with openness in mind at all levels. Based on this concept, we designed and developed a GreenIoT platform in Sweden to demonstrate the benefits of open data and open platforms for smart city development. Over the next year, we will develop applications and carry out experiments using the Uppsala City IoT testbed, and formulate guidelines for public bodies for the procurement of open IoT infrastructure – including open APIs, common data formats, and how to avoid vendor lock-in. Open systems enabling innovation in new services are particularly important for publicly funded IoT infrastructures, to maximize the benefits for society.

Qmags

#### Acknowledgments

This work is supported in part by the GreenIoT project grant (2015-00347) from VINNOVA, Sweden's innovation agency, and in part by EIT Digital in the ACTIVE project.

#### References

- S. Mitchell et al., *The Internet of Everything* for Cities, Cisco, 2015; <u>www.cisco.com/web/</u> strategy/docs/gov/everything-for-cities.pdf.
- Research and Markets, Internet of Things (IoT) Market by Software Solution (Real-Time Streaming Analytics, Security, Data Management, Remote Monitoring, & Network Bandwidth Management), Platform, Service, Application Domain, and Region – Global Forecast to 2021, tech. report, Apr. 2016; www.researchandmarkets.com/ research/gsjxb5/internet\_of.
- C. Zhu et al., "Green Internet of Things for Smart World," *IEEE Access*, vol. 3, Nov. 2015, pp. 2151–2162.
- Malmö Stad, Climate-Smart Hyllie Testing the Sustainable Solutions of the Future, Swedish Energy Agency, 2013; <u>http://malmo. se/download/18.760b3241144f4d60d3b6</u> 9cd/1397120343885/Hyllie+klimatkontrakt\_ broschyr\_EN\_2013.pdf.

NOVEMBER/DECEMBER 2016





# Standards

- 5. Philips, "Connected Lighting System," press release, 2014; www.newscenter.philips.com/ main/standard/news/press/2014/20140327philips-gives-workers-smartphone-controlof-office-lighting-with-groundbreakingconnected-lighting-system.wpd#. VL46kS5rNow.
- 6. City of Chicago, "Digital Roadmap to Improve Quality of Life," press release, Apr. 2015; www.cityofchicago.org/city/en/ depts/mayor/press\_room/press\_releases/ 2013/september\_2013/mayor\_emanuel\_ releasescityofchicagosfirstevertechnology plan.html.
- P. Fältström, "Market-Driven Challenges to Open Internet Standards," *Global Commission on Internet Governance Paper Series*, Centre for International Governance Innovation (CIGI), paper series no. 33, May 2016; <u>www.cigionline.org/publications/</u> <u>market-driven-challenges-open-internet-</u> standards.

- B. Ahlgren et al., "A Survey of Information-Centric Networking," *IEEE Comm.*, vol. 50, no. 7, 2012, pp. 1024–1049.
- **Bengt Ahlgren** is a senior researcher in the Decisions, Networking, and Analytics (DNA) lab at SICS Swedish ICT. His current research focus is on designing networks based on an information-centric paradigm, where storage for caching is integrated in the network infrastructure. Ahlgren has a PhD in computer systems from Uppsala University, Sweden. Contact him at bengta@sics.se.
- Markus Hidell is an associate professor in communication systems and at the Network Systems Laboratory (NSLab) at the KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Sweden. His current research interests are in the area of communication protocols and network architectures, including network virtualization, energy efficiency, and the Internet of Things

(IoT). Hidell has a PhD in telecommunication from the KTH Royal Institute of Technology. Contact him at mahidell@kth.se.

Edith C.-H. Ngai is an associate professor in the Department of Information Technology, Uppsala University, Sweden, and a visiting researcher at Ericsson Research. Her research interests include the IoT, mobile cloud computing, information-centric networking, smart cities, and urban computing. Ngai has a PhD in computer science and engineering from the Chinese University of Hong Kong. She's a senior member of IEEE and a member of the ACM. Contact her at edith.ngai@it.uu.se.



Read your subscriptions through the myCS publications portal at http:// mycs.computer.org.

# **ADVERTISER INFORMATION**

#### **Advertising Personnel**

Marian Anderson: Sr. Advertising Coordinator Email: <u>manderson@computer.org</u> Phone: +1 714 816 2139 | Fax: +1 714 821 4010

Sandy Brown: Sr. Business Development Mgr. Email <u>sbrown@computer.org</u> Phone: +1 714 816 2144 | Fax: +1 714 821 4010

#### **Advertising Sales Representatives (display)**

Central, Northwest, Far East: Eric Kincaid Email: <u>e.kincaid@computer.org</u> Phone: +1 214 673 3742 Fax: +1 888 886 8599

Northeast, Midwest, Europe, Middle East: Ann & David Schissler Email: <u>a.schissler@computer.org</u>, <u>d.schissler@computer.org</u> Phone: +1 508 394 4026 Fax: +1 508 394 1707 Southwest, California: Mike Hughes Email: mikehughes@computer.org Phone: +1 805 529 6790

Southeast: Heather Buonadies Email: <u>h.buonadies@computer.org</u> Phone: +1 973 304 4123 Fax: +1 973 585 7071

#### **Advertising Sales Representatives (Classified Line)**

Heather Buonadies Email: <u>h.buonadies@computer.org</u> Phone: +1 973 304 4123 Fax: +1 973 585 7071

#### **Advertising Sales Representatives (Jobs Board)**

Heather Buonadies Email: h.buonadies@computer.org Phone: +1 973 304 4123 Fax: +1 973 585 7071

56

IEEE INTERNET COMPUTING

