

Complexity of partitioned scheduling for periodic tasks

Pontus Ekberg (Speaker) *

Sanjoy Baruah †

1 Introduction

We consider the partitioned scheduling of a set of periodic tasks $\mathcal{T} = \{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n\}$ on m identical processors. A periodic task $\tau_i = (o_i, c_i, d_i, p_i) \in \mathbb{N}^4$ releases a job at each time point $o_i + kp_i$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Every job released by τ_i has an execution time requirement of c_i time units and a deadline d_i time units after its release.

We say that $\mathcal{T}$ is *synchronous* if $o_i = 0$ for all τ_i (and *asynchronous* otherwise). We say that $\mathcal{T}$ has *implicit deadlines* if $d_i = p_i$ for all τ_i and has *constrained deadlines* if $d_i \leq p_i$ for all τ_i (and has *arbitrary deadlines* otherwise).

We want to understand the complexity of the following family of decision problems.

Definition 1 (PARTITIONED $\mathcal{A}$ -SCHEDULABILITY).

Instance: $\langle \mathcal{T}, m \rangle$, where $\mathcal{T}$ is a set of periodic tasks and m is the number of processors.

Question: Is there an m -partitioning $\mathcal{T}_1, \dots, \mathcal{T}_m$ of $\mathcal{T}$ such that each partition $\mathcal{T}_i$ is schedulable by scheduling algorithm $\mathcal{A}$ on a single processor with no deadline misses?

Partitioned scheduling is a very commonly used strategy for real-time systems. It reduces overhead costs by disallowing migrations between processors and importantly allows the reuse of mature results for single-processor scheduling in a multiprocessor setting.

It is not difficult to see that PARTITIONED $\mathcal{A}$ -SCHEDULABILITY generalizes BIN PACKING in most settings and is NP-hard, but other previously known lower bounds on its complexity are only the ones for the corresponding single-processor $\mathcal{A}$ -schedulability problems. We want to narrow down the complexity, which among other things lets us find a distinction between the partitioned schedulability problems can efficiently be formulated as ILP or SAT instances, and which cannot unless the polynomial hierarchy collapses. To find better bounds we first define a partitioned version of a helpful number-theoretic decision problem that has been

*pontus.ekberg@it.uu.se. Department of Information Technology, Uppsala University, P.O. Box 337, SE-751 05 Uppsala, Sweden.

†baruah@wustl.edu. Department of Computer Science & Engineering, MSC 1045-213-1010J, Washington University in Saint Louis, 1 Brookings Drive, St. Louis, MO 63130-4899.

used for several hardness results for periodic tasks in single processor systems, the Simultaneous Congruences Problem.

2 Partitioned simultaneous congruences

The Simultaneous Congruences Problem (SCP) concerns finding a set of congruence classes that all share some element. The SCP was shown to be NP-complete by Leung and Whitehead [3] (and was later shown to be so in the strong sense by Baruah et al. [1]).

Definition 2 (SCP).

Instance: $\langle A, k \rangle$, where $A = \{(a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_n, b_n)\}$ is a set of pairs of non-negative integers satisfying $a_i < b_i$ for each i , and k is a positive integer.

Question: Is there an integer x and an $A' \subseteq A$ such that $|A'| = k$ and

$$x \equiv a_i \pmod{b_i}$$

for each $(a_i, b_i) \in A'$?

We define PARTITIONED SCP as a natural generalization to the SCP.

Definition 3 (PARTITIONED SCP).

Instance: $\langle A, k, m \rangle$, where $A = \{(a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_n, b_n)\}$ is a set of pairs of non-negative integers satisfying $a_i < b_i$ for each i , and k and m are positive integers.

Question: Is there an m -partitioning $A_1, \dots, A_m$ of A such that $\langle A_i, k \rangle \notin \text{SCP}$ for each partition A_i ?

Through a reduction from GENERALIZED GRAPH COLORING [4] we can pinpoint the complexity of PARTITIONED SCP. The reduction is closely inspired by Leung and Whitehead's reduction from CLIQUE to SCP [3] and works by assigning congruences classes to vertices such that a set of congruences classes have a joint element if and only if the corresponding set of vertices form a clique.

Theorem 4. PARTITIONED SCP is Σ_2^P -complete, even when $m = 2$.

3 Asynchronous tasks

For asynchronous periodic tasks we find a new general lower bound by reducing from PARTITIONED SCP in a fairly straight-forward manner.

Theorem 5. The partitioned $\mathcal{A}$ -schedulability problem for asynchronous periodic tasks with constrained (or arbitrary) deadlines is Σ_2^P -hard for any work-conserving scheduler $\mathcal{A}$ (preemptive or non-preemptive), even when restricted to $m = 2$ processors and task sets with $\sum_{\tau_i \in \mathcal{T}} \frac{c_i}{p_i} < \varphi$ for any constant $\varphi > 0$.

When $\mathcal{A}$ is an optimal preemptive single-processor scheduling algorithm, such as Earliest Deadline First (EDF), this can be shown to be a tight bound.

Theorem 6. *The partitioned EDF-schedulability problem for asynchronous periodic tasks with constrained (or arbitrary) deadlines is Σ_2^P -complete.*

In contrast, for preemptive Fixed Task Priority (FP) scheduling we still have a gap to the lower bound of Theorem 5.

Theorem 7. *The partitioned FP-schedulability problem for asynchronous periodic tasks is in Σ_3^P . This holds both if we are given the priority ordering or are asked to find one.*

The partitioned EDF-schedulability problem with implicit deadlines is essentially just plain BIN PACKING and is NP-complete. However, FP-scheduling with implicit deadlines can be shown to be harder than EDF when we are given a predefined priority ordering.

Theorem 8. *The partitioned FP-schedulability problem for asynchronous periodic tasks is Σ_2^P -hard if we are given a priority ordering, even when restricted to implicit-deadline tasks, $m = 2$ processors and task sets with $\sum_{\tau_i \in \mathcal{T}} \frac{c_i}{p_i} < \varphi m$ for any constant $\varphi > 1/2$.*

It is an open problem if this remains Σ_2^P -hard if we are instead asked if the set of tasks is FP-schedulable with *any* priority ordering.

4 Synchronous tasks

There is relatively less to say about synchronous periodic tasks at this time, but we have the following observations.

Theorem 9. *With synchronous periodic tasks, partitioned EDF-schedulability with implicit deadlines and partitioned FP-schedulability with implicit or constrained deadlines are NP-complete.*

The above follows directly from observing that the corresponding single processor problems are in NP. However, EDF-schedulability with constrained or arbitrary deadlines is not contained in the first level of the polynomial hierarchy (unless it collapses), but is contained in the second.

Theorem 10. *Partitioned EDF-schedulability of synchronous periodic tasks with constrained or arbitrary deadlines is both NP- and coNP-hard, and is in Σ_2^P .*

FP-schedulability with arbitrary deadlines has a larger gap still. (Here even the single processor case is not yet pinpointed!)

Theorem 11. *Partitioned FP-schedulability of synchronous periodic tasks with arbitrary deadlines is NP-hard, and is in Σ_3^P .*

We do suspect that the partitioned EDF-schedulability problem with constrained or arbitrary deadlines (as in Theorem 10) is in fact Σ_2^P -complete, just as with asynchronous tasks, but have yet been unable to show this. It seems significantly more difficult to construct a reduction from PARTITIONED SCP here due to the lack of the tasks' offset parameters o_i , which in many ways mirror the a_i parameters in PARTITIONED SCP. Still, such a reduction has been done from SCP to the single processor synchronous case [2], so there is still hope that PARTITIONED SCP can help in resolving this important outstanding problem.

References

- [1] S. BARUAH, R. HOWELL, AND L. ROSIER, *Algorithms and complexity concerning the preemptive scheduling of periodic, real-time tasks on one processor*, Real-Time Systems: The International Journal of Time-Critical Computing, 2 (1990), pp. 301–324.
- [2] P. EKBERG AND W. YI, *Uniprocessor feasibility of sporadic tasks with constrained deadlines is strongly coNP-complete*, in The 27th Euromicro Conference on Real-Time Systems, 2015, pp. 281–286.
- [3] J. Y.-T. LEUNG AND J. WHITEHEAD, *On the complexity of fixed-priority scheduling of periodic, real-time tasks*, Performance Evaluation, 2 (1982), pp. 237–250.
- [4] V. RUTENBURG, *Complexity of generalized graph coloring*, in Mathematical Foundations of Computer Science, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1986, pp. 573–581.