



# Numerical Linear Algebra - Krylov subspaces

NGSSC

Uppsala University  
2011





# Why Krylov subspaces are so much used?

NGSSC, Sci. Comp., January 2011

## Presentation, based on the paper

---

*The Idea Behind Krylov Methods*  
Ilse C. F. Ipsen and Carl D. Meyer  
The American Mathematical Monthly,  
Vol. 105, No. 10, Dec., 1998



Why Krylov methods make sense, and why it is natural to represent a solution to a linear system as a member of a Krylov space?

## General framework – projection methods



Want to solve  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ ,  $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{x} \in R^n$ ,  $A \in R^{n,n}$

Use the projection framework, i.e., we seek an approximate solution  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{x}^0 + \delta$ , where  $\delta \in K$ ,  $\dim(K) = m \ll n$ , such that

$$\mathbf{b} - A\tilde{\mathbf{x}} \perp L, \dim(L) = m$$

$\mathbf{x}^0$  is arbitrary.

# General framework – projection methods



Major results:

(A) The matrix  $B = W^T A V$  is nonsingular for any  $W$  and  $V$  either if  $A$  is positive definite and  $L = K$ , or if  $A^{-1}$  exists and  $L = AK$ .

(B) Properties

(I)  $K = L, A\text{-spd} \Rightarrow \|\mathbf{x}^* - \tilde{\mathbf{x}}\| \leq \|\mathbf{x}^* - \mathbf{x}\|$  for any  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^0 + \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} \in L$

(II)  $L = AK, \Rightarrow \|\mathbf{b} - A\tilde{\mathbf{x}}\| \leq \|\mathbf{b} - A\mathbf{x}\|$  for any  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^0 + \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} \in L$

## General framework – projection methods

---



The important question is now how to choose  $K$ . We let

$$K \equiv \mathcal{K}^m(A, \mathbf{v}) = \text{span}\{\mathbf{v}, A\mathbf{v}, \dots, A^{m-1}\mathbf{v}\}$$

for some vector  $\mathbf{v}$ .

Usual choices:  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{b}$  or  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{r}^0 \equiv \mathbf{b} - A\mathbf{x}^0$ .



## Relevant questions:

- Why is  $\mathcal{K}(A, \mathbf{b})$  often a good space from which to construct an approximate solution?
- Why are eigenvalues important for Krylov methods
- Why do Krylov methods often do so well for Hermitian matrices?

One can show that the solution of  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  has a natural representation in  $\mathcal{K}_k(A, \mathbf{b})$  for some  $k$ .

If  $k$  happens to be small, we have a fast convergence.



**Idea: express  $A^{-1}$  in terms of powers of  $A$ .**

---



The minimal polynomial of  $A$ ,  $q_d(t)$  of degree  $d$ , is the unique monic polynomial of minimal degree, for which

$$q(A) = 0.$$

It has the form

$$q_d(t) = \prod_{j=1}^d (t - \lambda_j)^{m_j},$$

where

- $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d$  are distinct eigenvalues of  $A$ ,
- $m_1, \dots, m_d$  are the corresponding indices of  $\lambda_j$  (the sizes of the largest Jordan block, associated with  $\lambda_j$ ).

**Idea: express  $A^{-1}$  in terms of powers of  $A$ .**

$$q_d(t) = \prod_{j=1}^d (t - \lambda_j)^{m_j} = \sum_{s=0}^m \alpha_s t^s, \quad (1)$$

where  $m = \sum_{j=1}^d m_j$ .

Example:  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & & \\ & 3 & & \\ & & 4 & \\ & & & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Then we have  $\lambda_1 = 3, m_1 = 2, \lambda_2 = 4, m_2 = 1$ .

Note that, since we have assumed that  $A$  is nonsingular, in

(1), the coefficient  $\alpha_0 = \prod_{j=1}^d (-\lambda_j)^{m_j} \neq 0$ .

**Idea: express  $A^{-1}$  in terms of powers of  $A$ .**

---

$$q(A) = \alpha_0 I_n + \alpha_1 A + \alpha_2 A^2 + \cdots + \alpha_m A^m = 0, \quad \alpha_0 \neq 0$$

Then  $A^{-1}q(A) = 0$ , thus,

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\alpha_0} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \alpha_{j+1} A^j$$

However,  $\mathbf{x} = A^{-1}\mathbf{b}$  !

If the minimal polynomial of  $A$  ( $A^{-1}\exists$ ) has degree  $m$ ,  
then  $\mathbf{x} = A^{-1}\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{K}^m(A, \mathbf{b})$ .

**Idea: express  $A^{-1}$  in terms of powers of  $A$ .**

---



Remarks:

- If  $d$  is small, then the convergence is fast.
- We also see that the eigenvalues of  $A$ , not its singular values, are important, because the dimension of the solution space is determined by the degree of the minimal polynomial.

# What happens if $A^{-1}$ does not exist?



Suppose that  $A$  is singular. One can show that even if a solution exists, it may not lie in the Krylov space  $\mathcal{K}^m(A, \mathbf{b})$ .

Example: Consider a consistent linear system  $N\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{c}$ , where  $N$  is a nilpotent matrix, i.e., there exists some integer  $\ell$ , such that  $N^\ell = 0$  but  $N^{\ell-1} \neq 0$ . Suppose that the solution  $\mathbf{x}$  is a linear combination of Krylov vectors, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{x} = \beta_0 \mathbf{c} + \beta_1 N \mathbf{c} + \beta_2 N^2 \mathbf{c} + \dots + \beta_{\ell-1} N^{\ell-1} \mathbf{c}$$

Then,  $\mathbf{c} = N\mathbf{x} = \beta_0 N \mathbf{c} + \beta_1 N^2 \mathbf{c} + \dots + \beta_{\ell-2} N^{\ell-1} \mathbf{c}$  and  $(I - \beta_0 N - \beta_1 N^2 - \dots - \beta_{\ell-2} N^{\ell-1}) \mathbf{c} = 0$ .

The matrix  $Q = I - \beta_0 N - \beta_1 N^2 - \dots - \beta_{\ell-2} N^{\ell-1}$  is nonsingular, because of the following reasons. The eigenvalues of any nilpotent matrix are all equal to zero, thus, the eigenvalues of  $Q$  are all equal to 1. Therefore,  $\mathbf{c}$  must be zero.

**Moral: the solution of a system with a nilpotent matrix and a nonzero right hand side cannot lie in the Krylov subspace, generated by the matrix and the rhs.**

# What happens if $A^{-1}$ does not exist?



Apply the following trick: Decompose the space  $C^n = \mathcal{R}(A^\ell) \oplus \mathcal{N}(A^\ell)$ , where  $\ell$  is the index of the zero eigenvalue of  $A \in C^{n \times n}$  and  $\mathcal{R}(\cdot)$  and  $\mathcal{N}(\cdot)$  denote range and nullspace. Then

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} R & 0 \\ 0 & N \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $R$  is nonsingular and  $N$  is nilpotent of index  $\ell$ .

Suppose now that  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  has a Krylov solution

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1 \\ \mathbf{x}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{j=1}^d \alpha_j A^j \mathbf{b} = \sum_{j=0}^d \alpha_j \begin{bmatrix} R^j & 0 \\ 0 & N^j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{b}_1 \\ \mathbf{b}_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

thus

$$\mathbf{x}_1 = \sum_{j=0}^d \alpha_j R^j \mathbf{b}_1 \text{ and } \mathbf{x}_2 = \sum_{j=0}^d \alpha_j N^j \mathbf{b}_2.$$

## What happens if $A^{-1}$ does not exist?

From  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  we have that  $N\mathbf{x}_2 = \mathbf{b}_2$ , so  $\sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \alpha_j N^{j+1} \mathbf{b}_2 = \mathbf{b}_2$  and

$$\left(I - \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \alpha_j N^{j+1}\right) \mathbf{b}_2 = \underline{\mathbf{0}}$$

and following analogous reasons we obtain that  $\mathbf{b}_2 = \mathbf{0}$ .

In other words, The existence of a Krylov solution requires that  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{R}(A^\ell)$ . The converse statement is also true.

***Theorem 1** A square linear system  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  has a Krylov solution if and only if  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{R}(A^\ell)$ , where  $\ell$  is the index of the zero eigenvalue of  $A$ .*

# Alexei Nikolaevich Krylov

---



1863-1945, Maritime Engineer

- 300 papers and books on: shipbuilding, magnetism, artillery, math, astronomy, geodesy
- 1890: theory of oscillating motions of the ship
- 1904: he built the first machine in Russia for integrating ODEs
- 1931: Krylov subspace methods



# Properties of the Krylov subspaces



$$\mathcal{K}^m(A, \mathbf{v}) = \text{span}\{\mathbf{v}, A\mathbf{v}, \dots, A^{m-1}\mathbf{v}\}$$

The dimension of  $\mathcal{K}^m$  increases with each iteration.

- Theorem [Cayley-Hamilton]:  $d \leq n$
- $\mathcal{K}^d$  is invariant under  $A$ , thus,  $\mathcal{K}^m = \mathcal{K}^d$  for  $m > d$ , thus,

$$\dim(\mathcal{K}^m) = \min(m, d)$$