

Course evaluation

Numerical Methods in Scientific Computing

Uppsala

To help us improve the course we would like you to answer the following questions.
Constructive criticism is appreciated!

Please also let us know if we need to change something already to the course week in Umeå.

1. How was the beforehand information about the course , registration procedure etc?
1 (not good) 2 3 4 5 (very good)
Comments:
2. How was the organization during the course?
1 (not good) 2 3 4 5 (very good)
Comments:
3. How was the level of the course?
1 (to low) 2 3 4 5 (to high)
Comments:
4. How well did the course adopt to the different backgrounds of the students?
1(no at all) 2 3 4 5 (very well)
Comments:
5. How relevant do you think the course material will be for your research project?
1 (no at all) 2 3 4 5 (very relevant)
Comments:
6. How was the total amount of work on the course?
1 (to low) 2 3 4 5 (to high)
Comments:
7. How was the quality of the written course material?

1 (low quality) 2 3 4 5 (high quality)
Comments:

8. How was the quality of the lectures given by Maya Neytcheva?
1 (low) 2 3 4 5 (high)
Comments:

9. How was the quality of the lectures given by Richard Wait?
1 (low) 2 3 4 5 (high)
Comments

10. How was the quality of the lectures given by Per Lötstedt?
1 (low) 2 3 4 5 (high)
Comments

11. How was the quality of the computer exercises?
1 (low) 2 3 4 5 (high)
Comments

12. Would it be a good idea to have organized social activities during the evenings?
No Maybe Yes
Comments:

13. Are there any important changes you would like to see implemented before the lectures in Umeå?

General comments: