Introduction to Lexical Analysis

## Outline

- Informal sketch of lexical analysis
- Identifies tokens in input string
- Issues in lexical analysis
- Lookahead
- Ambiguities
- Specifying lexers
- Regular expressions
- Examples of regular expressions


## Lexical Analysis

- What do we want to do? Example:
if ( $i==j$ )
then
z = 0;
else

$$
\text { z = } 1
$$

- The input is just a string of characters:
if $(i==j) \backslash n$ then $\backslash n \backslash t z=0 ; \backslash n \backslash$ telse $\backslash n \backslash t \backslash t z=1$;
- Goal: Partition input string into substrings
- Where the substrings are tokens


## What's a Token?

- A syntactic category
- In English:
noun, verb, adjective, ...
- In a programming language:

Identifier, Integer, Keyword, Whitespace, ...

## Tokens

- Tokens correspond to sets of strings
- these sets depend on the programming language
- Identifier: strings of letters or digits, starting with a letter
- Integer: a non-empty string of digits
- Keyword: "else" or "if" or "begin" or ...
- Whitespace: a non-empty sequence of blanks, newlines, and tabs


## What are Tokens used for?

- Classify program substrings according to role
- Output of lexical analysis is a stream of tokens...
- . . . which is input to the parser
- Parser relies on token distinctions
- An identifier is treated differently than a keyword


## Designing a Lexical Analyzer: Step 1

- Define a finite set of tokens
- Tokens describe all items of interest
- Choice of tokens depends on language, design of parser
- Recall

$$
\text { if }(i==j) \backslash n t h e n \backslash n \backslash t z=0 ; \backslash n \backslash t e l s e \backslash n \backslash \dagger \backslash t z=1 \text {; }
$$

- Useful tokens for this expression: Integer, Keyword, Relation, Identifier, Whitespace, (.), $=$, ;


## Designing a Lexical Analyzer: Step 2

- Describe which strings belong to each token
- Recall:
- Identifier: strings of letters or digits, starting with a letter
- Integer: a non-empty string of digits
- Keyword: "else" or "if" or "begin" or ...
- Whitespace: a non-empty sequence of blanks, newlines, and tabs


## Lexical Analyzer: Implementation

An implementation must do two things:

1. Recognize substrings corresponding to tokens
2. Return the value or lexeme of the token

- The lexeme is the substring


## Example

- Recall:

$$
\text { if }(i==j) \backslash n \text { hhen } \backslash n \backslash t z=0 ; \backslash n \backslash t e l s e \backslash n \backslash \dagger \backslash t z=1 \text {; }
$$

- Token-lexeme groupings:
- Identifier: i, j, z
- Keyword: if, then, else
- Relation: ==
- Integer: 0,1
- (, ), =, ; single character of the same name


## Why do Lexical Analysis?

- Dramatically simplify parsing
- The lexer usually discards "uninteresting" tokens that don't contribute to parsing
- E.g. Whitespace, Comments
- Converts data early
- Separate out logic to read source files
- Potentially an issue on multiple platforms
- Can optimize reading code independently of parser


## True Crimes of Lexical Analysis

- Is it as easy as it sounds?
- Not quite!
- Look at some programming language history . . .


## Lexical Analysis in FORTRAN

- FORTRAN rule: Whitespace is insignificant
- E.g., VAR1 is the same as VA R1
- Footnote: FORTRAN whitespace rule was motivated by inaccuracy of punch card operators


## A terrible design! Example

- Consider
- DO 5 I=1,25
- DO 5 I=1.25
- The first is DO $5 \mathrm{I}=1$, 25
- The second is $\mathrm{DO} 5 \mathrm{I}=1.25$
- Reading left-to-right, cannot tell if DO5l is a variable or DO stmt. until after "," is reached


## Lexical Analysis in FORTRAN. Lookahead.

Two important points:

1. The goal is to partition the string. This is implemented by reading left-to-write, recognizing one token at a time
2. "Lookahead" may be required to decide where one token ends and the next token begins

- Even our simple example has lookahead issues
i vs. if
=vs. $=$


## Another Great Moment in Scanning

- PL/1: Keywords can be used as identifiers:

IF THEN THEN THEN = ELSE; ELSE ELSE = IF
can be difficult to determine how to label lexemes

## More Modern True Crimes in Scanning

- Nested template declarations in C++
vector<vector<int>> myVector
vector < vector < int >> myVector
(vector < (vector < (int >> myVector)))


## Review

- The goal of lexical analysis is to
- Partition the input string into lexemes (the smallest program units that are individually meaningful)
- Identify the token of each lexeme
- Left-to-right scan $\Rightarrow$ lookahead sometimes required


## Next

- We still need
- A way to describe the lexemes of each token
- A way to resolve ambiguities
- Is if two variables i and f?
- Is = two equal signs = ?


## Regular Languages

- There are several formalisms for specifying tokens
- Regular languages are the most popular
- Simple and useful theory
- Easy to understand
- Efficient implementations


## Languages

Def. Let $\Sigma$ be a set of characters. A language $\Lambda$ over $\Sigma$ is a set of strings of characters drawn from $\Sigma$
( $\Sigma$ is called the alphabet of $\Lambda$ )

## Examples of Languages

- Alphabet = English characters
- Language = English sentences
- Not every string on English characters is an English sentence
- Alphabet = ASCII
- Language $=C$ programs
- Note: ASCII character set is different from English character set


## Notation

- Languages are sets of strings
- Need some notation for specifying which sets of strings we want our language to contain
- The standard notation for regular languages is regular expressions


## Atomic Regular Expressions

- Single character

$$
\text { 'c' }=\{" c "\}
$$

- Epsilon

$$
\varepsilon=\{" "\}
$$

## Compound Regular Expressions

- Union

$$
A+B=\{s \mid s \in A \text { or } s \in B\}
$$

- Concatenation

$$
A B=\{a b \mid a \in A \text { and } b \in B\}
$$

- Iteration

$$
A^{*}=\bigcup_{i \geq 0} A^{i} \text { where } A^{i}=A . . . i \text { times } \ldots A
$$

## Regular Expressions

- Def. The regular expressions over $\Sigma$ are the smallest set of expressions including
$\varepsilon$
' $c$ ' where $c \in \sum$
$A+B \quad$ where $A, B$ are rexp over $\sum$
$A B$ " " "
$A^{*} \quad$ where $A$ is a rexp over $\Sigma$


## Syntax vs. Semantics

- To be careful, we should distinguish syntax and semantics (meaning) of regular expressions

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
L(\varepsilon) & =\{" "\} \\
L\left('^{\prime}\right) & =\{" c "\} \\
L(A+B) & =L(A) \cup L(B) \\
L(A B) & =\{a b \mid a \in L(A) \text { and } b \in L(B)\} \\
L\left(A^{*}\right) & =\bigcup_{i \geq 0} L\left(A^{i}\right)
\end{array}
$$

## Example: Keyword

Keyword: "else" or "if" or "begin" or ...
'else' + 'if' + 'begin' + . .

Note: 'else' abbreviates 'e"l"s"e'

## Example: Integers

Integer: a non-empty string of digits
digit = '0'+'1'+'2'+'3'+'4'+'5'+'6'+'7'+'8'+'9'
integer $=$ digit digit ${ }^{*}$

Abbreviation: $A^{+}=A A^{*}$

## Example: Identifier

Identifier: strings of letters or digits, starting with a letter

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { letter }=\text { 'A' }+\ldots+\text { 'Z' }+ \text { 'a' }+\ldots+\text { 'z' } \\
& \text { identifier }=\text { letter (letter }+ \text { digit) }^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

Is (letter* + digit $^{*}$ ) the same?

## Example: Whitespace

Whitespace: a non-empty sequence of blanks, newlines, and tabs

## Example 1: Phone Numbers

- Regular expressions are all around you!
- Consider +46(0)18-471-1056

```
\(\Sigma \quad=\) digits \(\cup\{+,-,()\),
country = digit digit
city = digit digit
univ = digit digit digit
extension = digit digit digit digit
phone_num = '+'country'('0')'city'-'univ'-'extension
```


## Example 2: Email Addresses

- Consider kostis@it.uu.se
$\begin{array}{ll}\Sigma & =\text { letters } \cup\{., @\} \\ \text { name } & =\text { letter }^{+} \\ \text {address } & =\text { name '@' name '.' name '.' name }\end{array}$


## Summary

- Regular expressions describe many useful languages
- Regular languages are a language specification
- We still need an implementation
- Next time: Given a string s and a regular expression $R$, is

$$
s \in L(R) ?
$$

