Introduction to Lexical Analysis

Outline

- Informal sketch of lexical analysis
 - Identifies tokens in input string
- Issues in lexical analysis
 - Lookahead
 - Ambiguities
- Specifying lexers
 - Regular expressions
 - Examples of regular expressions

Compiler Design 1 (2011)

2

Lexical Analysis

What do we want to do? Example:

```
if (i == j)
then
   Z = 0;
else
   Z = 1:
```

- The input is just a string of characters:
 \tif (i == j)\nthen\n\tz = 0;\n\telse\n\t\tz = 1;
- Goal: Partition input string into substrings
 Where the substrings are tokens

What's a Token?

- A syntactic category
 - In English: noun, verb, adjective, ...
 - In a programming language:

Identifier, Integer, Keyword, Whitespace, ...

Tokens

- Tokens correspond to sets of strings.
- Identifier: strings of letters or digits, starting with a letter
- Integer: a non-empty string of digits
- Keyword: "else" or "if" or "begin" or ...
- Whitespace: a non-empty sequence of blanks, newlines, and tabs

What are Tokens used for?

- Classify program substrings according to role
- Output of lexical analysis is a stream of tokens...
- ... which is input to the parser
- Parser relies on token distinctions
 - An identifier is treated differently than a keyword

Compiler Design 1 (2011)

5

Compiler Design 1 (2011)

_

Designing a Lexical Analyzer: Step 1

- Define a finite set of tokens
 - Tokens describe all items of interest
 - Choice of tokens depends on language, design of parser
- Recall

 $tif (i == j) \cdot t = 0; \quad telse \cdot t = 1;$

Useful tokens for this expression:

Integer, Keyword, Relation, Identifier, Whitespace,
 (,), =,;

Designing a Lexical Analyzer: Step 2

- Describe which strings belong to each token
- · Recall:
 - Identifier: strings of letters or digits, starting with a letter
 - Integer: a non-empty string of digits
 - Keyword: "else" or "if" or "begin" or ...
 - Whitespace: a non-empty sequence of blanks, newlines, and tabs

Compiler Design 1 (2011) 7 Compiler Design 1 (2011)

Lexical Analyzer: Implementation

An implementation must do two things:

- 1. Recognize substrings corresponding to tokens
- 2. Return the value or *lexeme* of the token
 - The lexeme is the substring

Compiler Design 1 (2011)

Why do Lexical Analysis?

- Dramatically simplify parsing
 - The lexer usually discards "uninteresting" tokens that don't contribute to parsing
 - E.g. Whitespace, Comments
 - Converts data early
- · Separate out logic to read source files
 - Potentially an issue on multiple platforms
 - Can optimize reading code independently of parser

Example

Recall:

```
tif (i == j) \cdot t = 0; \quad t = 1;
```

- Token-lexeme groupings:
 - Identifier: i, j, z
 - Keyword: if, then, else
 - Relation: ==
 - Integer: 0, 1
 - (,), =,; single character of the same name

True Crimes of Lexical Analysis

- Is it as easy as it sounds?
- Not quite!

Compiler Design 1 (2011)

Look at some programming language history . . .

Compiler Design 1 (2011) 11 Compiler Design 1 (2011)

Lexical Analysis in FORTRAN

- FORTRAN rule: Whitespace is insignificant
- E.g., VAR1 is the same as VA R1
- Footnote: FORTRAN whitespace rule was motivated by inaccuracy of punch card operators

A terrible design! Example

Consider

- The first is DO 5 I = 1, 25
- The second is DO5I = 1.25
- Reading left-to-right, cannot tell if DO51 is a variable or DO stmt. until after "," is reached

Compiler Design 1 (2011)

13

Compiler Design 1 (2011)

1.4

Lexical Analysis in FORTRAN. Lookahead.

Two important points:

- 1. The goal is to partition the string. This is implemented by reading left-to-write, recognizing one token at a time
- 2. "Lookahead" may be required to decide where one token ends and the next token begins
- Even our simple example has lookahead issues

Another Great Moment in Scanning

PL/1: Keywords can be used as identifiers:

IF THEN THEN THEN = ELSE; ELSE ELSE = IF

can be difficult to determine how to label lexemes

More Modern True Crimes in Scanning

Nested template declarations in C++

vector<vector<int>> myVector

vector < vector < int >> myVector

(vector < (vector < (int >> myVector)))

Review

- The goal of lexical analysis is to
 - Partition the input string into *lexemes* (the smallest program units that are individually meaningful)
 - Identify the token of each lexeme
- Left-to-right scan ⇒ lookahead sometimes required

Compiler Design 1 (2011)

17

Compiler Design 1 (2011)

Next

- · We still need
 - A way to describe the lexemes of each token
 - A way to resolve ambiguities
 - Is if two variables i and f?
 - Is == two equal signs = =?

Regular Languages

- There are several formalisms for specifying tokens
- Regular languages are the most popular
 - Simple and useful theory
 - Easy to understand
 - Efficient implementations

Compiler Design 1 (2011) 19 Compiler Design 1 (2011)

Languages

Def. Let Σ be a set of characters. A language Λ over Σ is a set of strings of characters drawn from Σ (Σ is called the alphabet of Λ)

Examples of Languages

- Alphabet = English characters
- Alphabet = ASCII
- Language = English sentences
- Language = C programs
- Not every string on English characters is an English sentence
- Note: ASCII character set is different from English character set

Compiler Design 1 (2011)

21

Compiler Design 1 (2011)

--

Notation

- · Languages are sets of strings
- Need some notation for specifying which sets of strings we want our language to contain
- The standard notation for regular languages is regular expressions

Atomic Regular Expressions

Single character

$$c' = \{ c'' \}$$

Epsilon

$$\mathcal{E} = \{$$
"" $\}$

Compound Regular Expressions

Union

$$A+B = \{s \mid s \in A \text{ or } s \in B\}$$

Concatenation

$$AB = \{ab \mid a \in A \text{ and } b \in B\}$$

Iteration

$$A^* = \bigcup_{i \ge 0} A^i$$
 where $A^i = A...i$ times ...A

Compiler Design 1 (2011)

25

ε 'c' where $c \in \Sigma$

• **Def**. The *regular expressions over* Σ are the

smallest set of expressions including

A + B where A, B are rexp over \sum

AB " " " "

 A^* where A is a rexp over \sum

Syntax vs. Semantics

 To be careful, we should distinguish syntax and semantics (meaning) of regular expressions

$$L(\varepsilon) = \{""\}$$

$$L('c') = \{"c"\}$$

$$L(A+B) = L(A) \cup L(B)$$

$$L(AB) = \{ab \mid a \in L(A) \text{ and } b \in L(B)\}$$

$$L(A^*) = \bigcup_{i>0} L(A^i)$$

Example: Keyword

Compiler Design 1 (2011)

Regular Expressions

Keyword: "else" or "if" or "begin" or ...

'else' + 'if' + 'begin' +
$$\cdots$$

Note: 'else' abbreviates 'e"I"s"e'

Example: Integers

Integer: a non-empty string of digits

digit =
$$'0'+'1'+'2'+'3'+'4'+'5'+'6'+'7'+'8'+'9'$$

integer = digit digit*

Abbreviation: $A^+ = AA^*$

Example: Identifier

Identifier: strings of letters or digits, starting with a letter

letter =
$$'A' + ... + 'Z' + 'a' + ... + 'z'$$

identifier = letter (letter + digit)*

Compiler Design 1 (2011)

20

Compiler Design 1 (2011)

Example: Whitespace

Whitespace: a non-empty sequence of blanks, newlines, and tabs

$$('' + 'n' + 't')^+$$

Example 1: Phone Numbers

- Regular expressions are all around you!
- Consider +46(0)18-471-1056

```
Σ = digits ∪ {+,-,(,)}
country = digit digit
city = digit digit
univ = digit digit digit
extension = digit digit digit digit
phone num = '+'country'('0')'city'-'univ'-'extension
```

Example 2: Email Addresses

· Consider kostis@it.uu.se

 $\sum = \text{letters } \cup \{., @\}$ $\text{name} = \text{letter}^+$ address = name '@' name '.' name '.' name

Summary

- Regular expressions describe many useful languages
- Regular languages are a language specification
 - We still need an implementation
- Next time: Given a string s and a regular expression R, is

$$s \in L(R)$$
?

Compiler Design 1 (2011)

33

Compiler Design 1 (2011)