# Lab 2 — Multiprocessors and Memory Ordering

Andreas Sandberg <andreas.sandberg@it.uu.se>

## **1** Introduction

The purpose of this lab is to show the need for synchronization and the effects of consistency in multiprocessors. To demonstrate this, we will use a very simple algorithm, Algorithm 1, where two threads increment and decrement a shared variable in parallel.

A working implementation of Algorithm 1 needs to perform the increment and decrement of the shared data atomically, without interference from the other thread. You will experiment with different ways to achieve this.

In this lab we will use some x86 assembler code. You will not write any of the actual assembler instructions yourself, but you might still find the Intel Architecture Manuals<sup>1</sup> handy as a reference. All of the assembler instructions used in the lab should already have been introduced in the lab lecture.

You are highly encouraged to solve this lab in groups of two students. Talk to the teaching assistant if you, for some reason, want to work in some other configuration. This lab assignment is examined in the computer lab. During the examination, you will be asked to demonstrate and explain your solutions.

#### **1.1** Atomic instructions

For simple tasks, such as incrementing or decrementing a counter, atomic instructions are the right tool for the job. The x86 ISA specifies a lock prefix that can be used to with *some* instructions to allow them to execute atomically. Some other architectures take a different approach. For example, the Alpha and PPC use a special load and a conditional store instruction that does not modify memory if the data the store depends

Algorithm 1 Test code for two threads sharing data. n is the number of iterations to execute and *thread* is the thread number. We would intuitively expect *shared\_data* to be 0 after both threads have executed, which is only the case if the implementation is properly synchronized.

 $shared\_data \Leftarrow 0$ for i = 1 to n do if thread = 0 then  $shared\_data \Leftarrow shared\_data + 1$ else  $shared\_data \Leftarrow shared\_data - 1$ end if end for

http://www.intel.com/products/processor/manuals/

on has changed. Such such conditional stores can be used to implement other atomic instructions, such as compare and swap.

Atomic instructions are usually used to implement concurrent algorithms, such as hash maps and linked lists. They are also used to implement various mutual exclusion algorithms and barriers.

#### **1.2 Memory ordering**

The x86, like all modern processors, aggressively optimizes memory accesses using different caching and buffering mechanisms. These optimizations affect the order of memory accesses on the memory bus. The hardware is designed so that single-threaded user space applications can not detect such reordering.

Things get more complicated when multiple threads are involved. In this case some of the reordering can be detected. For example, a thread executes a store followed by a load, due to buffering of the store, the load may complete before the store is globally visible. To force memory accesses to be ordered the x86 architecture provides a set of fence instructions. We will only use the mfence instruction that prevents both loads and stores from being reordered over the fence. In addition to this instruction, the x86 ISA specifies two instructions that only affects loads or stores.

For simplicity and compatibility reasons, Intel requires that memory accesses are never reordered past atomic instructions. This allows most lock implementations that use atomic instructions work correctly without memory fences.

### 1.3 What are critical sections, and who is this Dekker guy?

The simplest way to update shared data structures is to define critical sections, where only one thread is allowed to execute at a time. A mutual exclusion, *mutex*, algorithm ensures that only one thread can execute in the critical section at any given time. In addition to ensuring mutual exclusion, mutex implementations also ensure that memory accesses can not be reordered to happen outside the critical section.

Several algorithms have been developed to solve the critical section problem, we will use one called *Dekker's algorithm*. Dekker's algorithm was attributed to the Dutch mathematician *Theodorus J. Dekker* in a manuscript from 1965 by *Edsger W. Dijkstra*. See Algorithm 2 for a pseudo-code description of the algorithm.

#### 1.4 The lab

All the files related to this part of the lab can be downloaded from the course homepage. Download them and extract them in a suitable working directory in your home directory. The provided skeleton code consists of a handful files described below.

- Makefile Automates the compilation. You can simply type **make** to compile both the pthreads version and the version using your own synchronization primitives. You may use **make clean** to remove automatically generated files from the working directory.
- **lab2.c** Common code for running the experiments. You do not need to change make any changes in this file.
- lab2.h Common data structures and declarations. No need to edit this file.

Autumn 2010 - Final

Algorithm 2 Code for thread i to run a critical section, thread j is the second thread that competes for the critical section. The *turn* variable should be initialized to 0 and both *flag* variables should be initialized to *False* prior to executing the algorithm.

 $flag_i \Leftarrow True$ while  $flag_j$  do if  $turn \neq i$  then  $flag_i \Leftarrow False$ while  $turn \neq i$  do Do nothing or sleep end while  $flag_i \Leftarrow True$ end if end while

Do critical work

 $\begin{array}{l} turn \Leftarrow j \\ flag_i \Leftarrow False \end{array}$ 

- **lab2\_asm.h** Inline-assembler implementations for all of the atomic instructions used in this lab. No need to edit this file, but it is a good idea to read through this file to see what the atomic instructions look like.
- **cs\_pthread.c** Reference implementation of the synchronization code. You do not need to edit this file.
- cs\_dekker.c Implement Dekker's algorithm here.
- test\_critical.c Implement Algorithm 1 using using critical sections here.
- test\_incdec.c Implement Algorithm 1 using atomic increments and decrements here.
- **test\_cmpxchg.c** Implement Algorithm 1 using atomic compare and exchange instructions here.

You don't have to modify the Makefile, but it might be useful to have a look inside. The file contains rules to build the lab2 binary. You compile the application by executing the **make** command in the source directory. There are multiple targets in the Makefile, e.g. the *clean* target. To execute the *clean* target, which cleans up the working directory, you simply run **make clean**.

The test type and critical section implementation can be selected using command line options. Run ./lab2 -h for information about available options. The idea is that you should be able to test whether your have placed your critical sections correctly by using the *pthreads* critical sections implementations. Once the critical section has been placed correctly, you may start working on your implementation of Dekker's algorithm.

#### 1.5 Tasks

1. Run the *critical section* tests with the *pthreads* critical sections implementation (./lab2 -t critical -c pthreads). Does the counter return to its initial value? Why?/Why not?

- 2. Insert calls to enter\_critical and exit\_critical into test\_critical. c to enter and exit critical sections to allow for correct parallel execution of the test. Use the *pthreads* version to test this. Does the counter return to the initial value now?
- 3. Implement Dekker's algorithm for synchronization in cs\_dekker.c.
  - (a) Why do the *flag* and *turn* variables have to be volatile?
  - (b) Why doesn't the straightforward implementation work?
- 4. Add suitable memory barriers to the code to make the synchronization work correctly. Use the MFENCE macro.
- 5. Implement Algorithm 1 in test\_incdec.c using atomic and non-atomic *inc* and *dec* instructions. You may (read: should) use the functions defined in lab2\_ asm.h. What happens when you use the non-atomic instructions? Why? What happens when you use atomic instructions?
- 6. Implement Algorithm 1 in test\_cmpxchg.c using both atomic and nonatomic compare and exchange instructions. You may use the functions defined in lab2\_asm.h. What happens when you use the non-atomic instructions? Why? What happens when you use atomic instructions?
- 7. Compare the runtime performance when using critical sections and atomic increments/decrements. Which one is faster and why?
- 8. Compare the runtime performance when using atomic and non-atomic increments/decrements. Is there any difference in performance? Why?
- 9. Bonus: Implement queue locks in cs\_queue.c using atomic instructions. See the lecture notes for details about the algorithm. Show your solution to the course assistant.