Query Optimization

Principles of Modern Database Systems 2007

Tore Risch
Dept. of information technology
Uppsala University
Sweden

Query execution plan

Query execution plan is functional program with primitives:

Tuple scan operator

Tuple selection operator

Various index scan operators

Various join algorithm operators

Sort operator

Duplicate elimination operator

Stop after N tuples operator

• • • • •

Normally pipelined execution

Streams of tuples produced as intermediate results

Avoid building large main memory data structures

Intermediate results can sometimes be materialized too

Degrees of freedom:

Plan enumeration: Generating all different possible execution plans

Choice of, e.g.:

scan tuples vs traverse indexes choose indexes to traverse choose join order choose algorithms used for joins resources restricted by available main memory possible materialization of intermediate results intermediate results need sorting duplicate elimination of intermediate results

. . .

Data statistics

- Used statistics to estimate size of intermediate results:
- Size of tables
- Number of different column values
- Histogram of distributions of column values E.g. selectivity of AGE>xxx, etc.
- Classically rough models that still work rather well since models used only for comparing different execution strategies - not for getting the exact execution costs.
- Data independence assumed major source of estimate errors

Cost of maintaining data statistics

- Cost of maintaining data statistics
- Cheap: e.g size of relation, depth of B-tree.
- Expensive: e.g. distibution on non-indexed columns,
- Occational statistics updates works well for steady-state
- Statistics not always up-to-date
- Wrong statistics -> sub-optimal but still correct plans

Dynamic programming:

```
optmethod('exhaustive');
dyprogopt(query)
 queue = priority queue containing queue nodes, qnode, of
                  partial plans (gnode.partial),
                  remaining parts of query (qnode.rest),
                  and costs (qnode.cost)
 initialize queue to qnode(nil,query,0);
 while(true)
   if(queue empty) error("Query not executable");
   bestplan = subplan in queue with lowest cost;
   queue = remove(bestplan, queue);
   if(bestplan.rest empty)return bestplan;
   for each new queue node, nq,
           constructed from bestplan.partial
           extened with new partial neighbour plan, np,
             picked from bestplan.rest
           nq.partial = bestplan.partial + np;
           nq.rest = bestplan.rest - nq;
           nq.cost = bestplan.cost + cost(nq); (approx)
           add ng to gueue:
```

Object-relational optimizers

10.2 User defined foreign functions select name from emp where northof(loc,60) Can define own selection function:

northof(locx,locy)

- 10.3 Associate function computing *selectivity* of foreign function
- 10.7 Associate function computing *cost* of foreign function Also needed:
- Query transformation rules that recognize UDF patterns to simplify query
- Rewrites to utilize special indexing when applicable.

Amos II foreign functions

```
In Amos II:
create function sqrt(number x)->number y as
 multidirectional
 ('bf' foreign 'SQRT' cost {2,0.5})
 ('fb' foreign 'SQUARE' cost {1,1});
select sqrt(2.0); -> SQRT called.
select y where sqrt(y)=2; -> SQUARE called
select true where sqrt(4.0)=2.0; -> SQUARE called
Costs functions can be (foreign) Amos II functions.
```

Object-relational optimizers

10.4 User defined negators

```
not(close(x, loc(5,5))) \Leftrightarrow apart(x, loc(5,5))
```

10.6 User defined index updates

select ... where readness(picture)<0.1

readness evaluated when picture inserted or updated!

select ... where north(loc) > 60

north evaluated when picture inserted or updated.

10.9 User defined indexing

E.g. R-trees,

Requires API on server

Access to locks, recovery, page management

Object-relational optimizers

- 10.8 Smart handling of expensive predicates (functions)
 Relational optimizer assumes all predicates cheap
 - -> always evaluate (filter) early (selection pushing)

Functions such as readness(..) may be expensive

- -> evaluate after all cheap filters (selection pulling)
- => Need optimizer handling expensive predicates properly (pull expensive predicates).
- => J.Hellerstein: Optimization Techniques for Queries with Expensive Methods

 How to modify traditional dynamic programming optimizer to handle expensive predicates.

Object-relational optimizers

10.10 Expression flattening

Basic idea: Functions/views are macro-expanded

Amos II expands derived functions.

create function foo(Date d)->bag of Emp e

as select e where startdate(e)>d;

Select name(e) from Emp e

where e = foo('...') and salary(e) > 18000;

Use B-tree index on salary rather than

first evaluating foo if that requires a scan.

Traditinal optimizer expand views, here functions too..



Object-relational optimizers

10.15 User defined aggregation operators

Good idea.

In Amos II: foreign functions

Problem: Optimization

Conclusion:

Object-relational optimizers must support extensibility of query language and of storage structures.

Requires extended query optimizer compared to traditional relational optimizers.

Optimizing large queries

- Don't optimize at all, order of predicates significant
- -Optimize partly, i.e. up to ca 8 joins, leave rest unoptimized
- Heuristic methods
- Randomized (Monte Carlo) methods (research papers)
- Hybride methods, mix dynamic programming, heuristic, randomized
- User breaks down large queries to many small queries manually (often necessary for translating relational representations to complex object structures in application programs)

. . .

Optimizing the optimizer (meta-optimization):

- Naïve approach (trying all execution orders and indexes): O(|Q|!)Dynamic programming $O(|Q|^2) - O(3^{|Q|})$ generates optimal plan. Normally used. System R style optimizer.
- Hillclimbing $O(|Q|^2)$ may generate suboptimal plans.
- Randomized methods $O(|Q|^2)$ converge to optimal plan.
- Adaptive methods, modify plan dynamically by monotoring.
 - Does not rely on static statistics.